# **Approximation for Collective Epidemic Model**

Dr.Mrs.T.Vasanthi and A.Martin

Department of Mathematics, ADM College, Nagapattinum-611001 Tamil Nadu, India [Email:svhadvt@gmail.com] Department of Mathematics, Avvaiyar Government College for Women Karaikal-609609, Puducherry, India [Email:martin292033@gmail.com]

## Abstract

The collective epidemic model is a quite flexible that describes the spread of an infectious disease of the Susceptible-Infected-Removed type in a closed population. In the present paper a necessary and sufficient condition is derived that generates the weak convergence of the law of this variable to a mixed poisson distribution when the initial susceptible population tends to infinity provided that the out break is severe in a certain sense.

Keywords: Collective epidemic model,, Mixed Poisson law, Branching Process, PLT

## 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers: 60G20

## Introduction

In the mathematical theory of epidemics, an important class of models is concerned with infectious disease of the S(Susceptible)-I(Infected)-R(removed) type. A closed population contains initially n susceptible individuals and m infected individuals. All the infective initial or subsequent are supposed to behave independently.Each of them stays infectious during a certain period of time of random length. After that period it is immune in a permanent way and may thus be regarded as removed from the infectious process. While infected an individual is able to transmit the infection to others.A susceptible if ever contacted by an infective is infected and becomes immediately infectious.

Consider any fixed subject of k susceptible among the n initial ones  $1 \le k \le n$ . It is assumed that any fixed infective does not transmit the infection during its whole infectious period within such a group of k susceptible with a probability q(k) that depends only on the size k of the group(and possibly on n). These q(k)'s are fixed and constitute the n parameters of the model.

The collective epidemic terminates at some finite time A as soon as there are no more infective present in the population. Then the ultimate number of susceptible surviving the disease denoted by S(A) say. For simple infection the parameters q(k)can be written as functions of a small number of epidemic components. Any given pair of individuals is now assumed to make contacts at the points of a Poisson process with rate  $\beta$  all these processes being independent. Moreover any infective infectious during a period of random length  $D_i$  all the  $D_i$ 's being i.i.d and distributed as the variable D say.

We then get

 $q(k) = E[\exp(-k\beta D)], \quad 1 \le k \le n$ 

In particular the so called general epidemic corresponds to the special case where D is exponentially distributed with parameter  $\mu$ , here thus  $q(k) = \mu/(\mu + k\beta)$ . Moreover aslong as S(A) is concerned the model covers another standard model known as the Reed-Frost epidemic which is obtained by

Supposing that D is equal to some constant d; this yields

 $q(k) = q^k$  with  $q = \exp(-\beta d)$ .

For the collective model the exact distribution of S(A) was obtained and studied by Picard and Lefevre(1990). Martin-Lof(1986)established the existence of a threshold phenomenon together with a branching or Gaussian limit approximation. The problem examined in the present paper is the alternative approximation of S(A)by a mixed Poisson law.

Also Daniels(1967) showed for the general epidemic under some conditions S(A)can have a Poisson-like behavior. Later Ball and Barbour(1990) applied the Stein-Chen methodology to derive a Poisson approximation with an order of magnitude for the model of Martin-Lof(1986). Recently Lefevre and Utev(1995) obtained a necessary and sufficient condition that guarantees the validity of such a Poisson limit for thegeneralized epidemic. Our purpose here is to go further in this subject by deriving now a mixed Poisson approximation for the final state of the collective epidemic.

## **Branching process Definition1**

Let the random variables  $x_0, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n$  denote the size of (or the number of objects in) the  $o^{th}, 1^{st}, 2^{nd}$ ..... generation respectively. Let the probability that an object(irrespective of the generation to which it belongs) generates k similar objects  $p_k$  where  $p_k \ge 0, k = 0, 1, 2, 3...$ ,  $\sum p_k = 1$ . be denoted by

The sequence  $\{x_n, n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  constitutes a Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution {  $p_k$  }.

Properties of generating functions of Branching process. We have

$$x_{n+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{x_n} \zeta_r \text{ where } \zeta_r \text{ are i.i.d variables with distribution} \{p_k\}.$$
  
Let  $P(s) = \sum_k \Pr\{\zeta_r = k\}S^k = \sum_k P_k S^k$  be the p.g.f of  $\{\zeta_r\}$  and let  
 $P_n(s) = \sum_k \Pr\{X_n = k\}s^k, n = 0, 1, 2....$  be the p.g.f of  $\{x_n\}.$ 

We assume that  $x_0 = 1$ , clearly  $P_0(s) = S$  and  $P_1(s) = P(s)$ .

We start by associating with the collective epidemic an equivalent model of branching type. By equivalent we mean that the final susceptible state  $S_n(\infty)$  can be obtained as the first-crossing level of some decreasing branching model in a linearly decreasing barrier.

Let  $\{z_{n,1}, z_{n,2}, \dots, z_{n,n}\}$  be a family of n exchangeable Berenoulli random variables with parameters  $q_n(k), 1 \le k \le n$ . For t=1, 2, 3, .... Let  $\{z_{n,1}(t), \dots, z_{n,n}(t)\}$  be i.i.d copies of that family.

The Markov Chain 
$$\{x_n(t), t \ge 0\}$$
 defined by  $x_n(0) = n$  and  $x_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{x_n(t-1)} z_{n,i}(t), t \ge 1$ .

This chain is decreasing overtime. Its transient distribution is given below.

## Lemma1

Foe each 
$$t \ge 1$$
  
 $E\binom{x_n(t)}{K} = \binom{n}{k} [q_n(t)]^t, 1 \le k \le n$ 

ie  $x_n(t)$  is distributed as the sum of n exchangeable Bernoulli random variables with parameters  $[q_n(k)]^t$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ .

## Proof

Let  $z_{n,i}^{(j)}$  denote the indicator of the event (susceptible i escapes infection from infective j during the epidemic spread). From the definition of the model we see that each vector  $\{z_{n,1}^{(j)}, \dots, z_{n,n}^{(j)}\}$  is a family of n exchangeable Bernoulli random variables and all the vectors are i.i.d copies of the family  $\{z_{n,1}, \dots, z_{n,n}\}$  say with  $q_n(k) = P(z_{n,1} = z_{n,2} = \dots = z_{n,k} = 1)$ ,  $1 \le k \le n \rightarrow (1)$ 

At this point we emphasize that the probabilities (1) corresponds to the parameters usually introduced when constructing the joint law of n exchangeable Bernoulli random variables  $\{z_{n,1}, \dots, z_{n,n}\}$ . In particular consider the partial sums  $x_{n,u} = z_{n,1} + \dots + z_{n,u}$   $1 \le u \le n$ . We have the distribution  $x_{n,u}$  when specified by its binomial moments is given by  $E\begin{pmatrix} x_{n,u} \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ k \end{pmatrix} q_n(k)$   $1 \le k \le u$ . Hence for t=1 the statement of lemma is true. For  $t \ge 2$  we obtain that  $E\begin{pmatrix} X_n(t) \\ k \end{pmatrix} = E\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} E\begin{pmatrix} X_n(t) \\ k \end{pmatrix} / X_n(t-1) \end{bmatrix} \right\} = E\begin{pmatrix} X_n(t-1) \\ k \end{pmatrix} q_n(k)$ 

Which leads to the proof of the lemma by induction.

To make the link with the epidemic model consider the decreasing line  $n + m_n - 1$ and let  $T_n$  be the first time when the branching model crosses the line  $T_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : x_n(t) \ge n + m_n - t\}$ 

Clearly  $1 \le T_n \le n + m_n$ .

## **Proposition 1**

The process  $\{x_n(t), t \ge 0\}$  is a decreasing Markov chain with

$$X_n(t) =_d MB(n, \prod_{s=1}^t Q_n, s), t \ge 1 \qquad \rightarrow (2)$$

At time  $T_n$ , the state  $X_n(T_n)$  has the same law as the variable  $s_n(\infty)$  which is provided by the following n relation

$$E\left\{\binom{X_n(T_n)}{k}/[E(Q_n^k)]^{n+m_m-X_n(T_n)}\right\} = \binom{n}{k} \quad 1 \le k \le n \quad \to (3)$$

#### Proof

The first assertion is obvious from

$$X_{n}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{X_{n}(t-1)} Z_{n,i^{(t)}}, t \ge 1$$

The law (2) for  $X_n(t)$  is obtained from

 $X_{n}(t) =_{d} MB(X_{n}(t-1), Q_{n,t}) \quad ,t \ge 1 \text{ by induction and well known fact that}$  $MB(B(l,u), v) =_{d} B(l,uv) \text{ from (2) we get} \quad 1 \le k \le n \text{ that}$  $E\left[\binom{X_{n}(t)}{k}\right] = \binom{n}{k} \left[E(Q_{n}^{k})\right]^{t}, t \ge 0$ 

Which shows that the process

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X_n(t) \\ k \end{pmatrix} \left[ E(Q_n^{k}) \right]^{-t} , t \ge 0 \right\}$$

Forms a martingale.

Now from

$$T_n = \inf \left\{ t : t + X_n(t) = n + m_n \right\}$$

 $T_n$  is a Markov time and applying the optional stopping theorem that yields the n relation (3).

These constitutes a triangular set of n linear equations in the n ultimate state probabilities  $P[S_n(T_n) = k]$ ,  $1 \le k \le n$ . The probability for k=0 follows. Finally we

note that the system (3) is identical with n relation providing the law of  $S_n(\infty)$ .

This leads to the following proposition.

## **Proposition 2**

The branching model intersects the barrier at  $T_n$ . That is  $X_n(T_n) = n + m_n - T_n \rightarrow (4)$ 

Furthermore  $X_n(T_n)$  has the same distribution as  $S_n(\infty)$  which is provided by n relation

$$E\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} S_n(\infty) \\ k \end{pmatrix} / [q_n(k)]^{n+m_n-S_n(\infty)} \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}, 1 \le k \le n$$

This representation has a simple interpretation.

Returning to the epidemic model we make a change of time scale and we define a new artificial time t=1, 2, ... as the cumulative number of removals in the course of real time.

Put  $X_n(0) = n$  and let  $X_n(t)$ ,  $t \ge 1$  denote the number of individuals that escape infection contacts with the first t infective removed. Moreover put  $I_n(0) = m_n$  and let  $I_n(t)$ ,  $t \ge 1$  be the number of infected individuals still present after the  $r^{th}$  removal. By construction  $t + X_n(t) + I_n(t) = n + m_n$ . Thus the first time when there are no more infective present in the population is quite identical with  $T_n$  and (4) does not hold true. We then feel intuitively that  $X_n(T_n)$  and  $S_n(\infty)$  are equidistributed.

## Conclusion

Hence we conclude that  $X_n(T_n)$  does satisfy a Poisson Limit Theorem.

#### References

- [1] Bailey.N.T.J., 1975 The Mathematical Theory of Infectious Diseases and its Applications, Griffin, London.
- [2] Daniels, H.E, 1967. The distribution of the total size of an epidemic.Proc. Fifth Berkeley Symp.Math.Stat. probab.IV, 281-293.
- [3] Kendall, W.S. 1994. Contribution to the Disscussion of "Epidemic Models and data" by D.Mollison, V.Isham and B.T.Grenfell. J.Roy.Statist. Soc. Ser. A 157 143.
- [4] Martin-Lof, A. 1986. Symmetric Sampling Procedures, general epidemic processes and their threshold limit theorems. J. Appl. Probab. 23 265-282.
- [5] Scalia-Tomba, G. 1985 Asymptotic final size distribution for some chainbinomial processes. Adv. In Appl. Prob. 17 477-495.
- [6] Stark, J., Iannelli, P. and Baigent, S, 2001 A nonlinear dynamics perspective of moment closure for stochastic process. Nonlinear Analysis 47, 753-764.

Dr.Mrs.T.Vasanthi and A.Martin