

Constructions of Q-BI Fuzzy Ideals Over Sub Semi-Groups with Respect to (T,S) Norms

S.V. Manemaran¹ and B. Chellappa²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Oxford Engineering College, Tiruchirappalli, India
E-mail: svmanemaran@gmail.com

²Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Alagappa Govt. Arts College, Karaikudi, India
E-mail: chellappa58@gmail.com

Abstract

We consider the Q- Bifuzzification of the concept of several ideals in a semi-group G, and investigate some properties of such ideals.

2000 Ams Classification: 20M12, 04A72.

Introduction

After the introduction of fuzzy sets by L.A. Zadeh [11], several researchers explored on the generalization of the notion of fuzzy set, the concept of Intuitionistic fuzzy set was introduced by K.T. Atanassav [2] as a generalization of the notion of fuzzy set. In [5], N. Kuroki gave some properties of fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in a semi-groups then concept (1,2)- ideals in a semi-group was introduced by S. Lajos [8]. In this paper we consider the Q- Bifuzzification of the concept of several ideals in a semi-group G and investigate some properties of such ideals.

Preliminaries

Let 'G' be a semi-group. By a sub semi-groups of G we mean a non-empty subset A of G such that $A^2 \subseteq A$ and by a left (right) ideal of G we mean a non-empty subset A of G such that $GA \subseteq A$ ($AG \subseteq A$). **By two sided ideal or simply ideal, we mean a non - empty** subset of G which is both left and right ideal of G. A sub semi-group 'A' of a semi-group G is called a bi-ideal of G if $A \subseteq A$. A sub semi-group A of G is called a (1,2)- ideal of G if $AGA^2 \subseteq A$. A semi-group G is said to be (2, 2) – regular if $x \in$

x^2Gx^2 for $x \in G$. A semi-group 'G' is said to be regular if, for each $x \in G$, there exists $y \in G$ such that $x = xyx$. A semi-group 'G' is said to be completely regular if for each $x \in G$, there exists $y \in G$ such that $x = xyx$ and $xy = yx$. For a Semi-group 'G', note that G is completely regular iff G is a union of groups iff G is (2,2)- regular. A semi-group 'G' is said to be left (resp. right) ideal if every left (resp. right) ideal of G is a two sided ideal of G.

A Bi fuzzy set (briefly BFS) 'A' is a non-empty set X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, t_A(x), f_A(x)) / x \in X\}$ where the functions $t_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ and $f_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ denote the truth degree of membership and false degree of membership respectively and as

$$t_A(x) + f_A(x) \leq 1, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

In what follows, let G denote a semi-group unless otherwise specified.

Let 'X' be a non-empty set. A mapping $\mu : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a fuzzy set in X. The complement of a fuzzy set μ in X, denoted by μ^c is the fuzzy set in X given by $\mu^c(x) = 1 - \mu(x)$ for all $x \in X$. In what follows, let Q and G denote a set and a semi-group, respectively unless otherwise specified. A mapping $\mu : G \times Q \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a Q fuzzy set in X.

Definition 2.1: A Q-bi fuzzy set (QBFS) $A = (t_A, f_A)$ in G is called an Q- bi fuzzy sub semi-group of G if

- i. $t_A(xy, q) \geq T \{t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q)\}$
- ii. $f_A(xy, q) \leq S \{f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q)\}$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Definition 2.2: A QBFS $A = (t_A, f_A)$ in G is called Q- bi fuzzy left ideal of G if $t_A(xy, q) \geq t_A(y, q)$ and $f_A(xy, q) \leq f_A(y, q)$, for $x, y \in G$. A Q- bifuzzy right ideal of G define in an analogous way. An BFS $A = (t_A, f_A)$ in G is called an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G if it is both an Q- bifuzzy left (right) ideal of G is an Q- bifuzzy subgroup of G.

Definition 2.3: A Q- bifuzzy sub semi-group $A = (t_A, f_A)$ of G is called Q- bifuzzy ideal of G if,

- i. $t_A(xwy, q) \geq T \{t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q)\}$
- ii. $f_A(xwy, q) \leq S \{f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q)\}$ for all $w, x, y \in G$.

Characteristic of Q-fuzzy bi-ideals

Proposition 3.1: Every Q- bifuzzy ideal is an Q- bifuzzy (1,2)-ideal.

Proof: Let $A = (t_A, f_A)$ be an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G and let $w, x, y, z \in G$ and $q \in Q$ then

$$\begin{aligned} t_A(xw(yz), q) &= t_A((xwy)z, q) \\ &\geq T \{t_A(xwy, q), t_A(z, q)\} \\ &\geq T \{T \{t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q)\}, t_A(z, q)\} \\ &= T \{t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q), t_A(z, q)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(xw(yz), q) &= f_A((xwy)z, q) \\ &\leq S \{f_A(xwy, q), f_A(z, q)\} \\ &\leq S \{S \{f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q)\}, f_A(z, q)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q), f_A(z, q) \}$$

Hence $A = (t_A, f_A)$ be an Q- bifuzzy (1, 2)- ideal of G.

To consider the converse of proposition 3.1, we need to strengthen the condition of a sub semi-group G.

Proposition 3.2: If ‘G’ is a regular semi-group, then every Q- bifuzzy (1,2)-ideal of G is an Q- bi fuzzy ideal of G.

Proof: Assume that a sub semi-group G is regular and let $A = (t_A, f_A)$ be an Q- bifuzzy (1,2)-ideal of G. Let $w, x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$. Since G is regular, we have $xw \in (xsx)s \subseteq xsx$ which implies that $xw = xGx$ for some $s \in G$ thus,

$$t_A(xwy, q) = t_A((xsx)y, q) = t_A(xs(xy), q)$$

$$\geq T \{ t_A(x, q), t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q) \}$$

$$= T \{ t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q) \}$$

and

$$f_A(xwy, q) = f_A((xsx)y, q) = f_A(xs(xy), q)$$

$$\leq S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \}$$

Therefore $A = (t_A, f_A)$ is an Q- bi fuzzy bi-ideal of G.

Proposition 3.3: Let ‘A’ be an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G. If G is a completely regular, then $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$ for all $a \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof: Let $a \in G$ and $q \in Q$, then there exists $x \in G$ such that $a = a^2xa^2$.

Hence ,

$$t_A(a, q) = t_A(a^2xa^2, q)$$

$$\geq T \{ t_A(a^2, q), t_A(a^2, q) \}$$

$$= t_A(a^2, q)$$

$$\geq T \{ t_A(a, q), t_A(a, q) \}$$

$$= t_A(a, q)$$

and

$$f_A(a, q) = f_A(a^2xa^2, q)$$

$$\leq S \{ f_A(a^2, q), f_A(a^2, q) \}$$

$$= f_A(a^2, q)$$

$$\leq S \{ f_A(a, q), f_A(a, q) \}$$

$$= f_A(a, q)$$

It follows that $t_A(a, q) = t_A(a^2, q)$ and $f_A(a, q) = f_A(a^2, q)$ so that $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$.

Proposition 3.4: Let A be an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G. If ‘G’ is an intra-regular then $A(a, q) = A(a^2, q)$ for all $a \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof: Let $a \in G$ then G is intra-regular there exists x and y in G such that $a = xa^2y$. Hence since A is Q- bifuzzy ideal.

$$t_A(a, q) = t_A(xa^2y, q)$$

$$\geq t_A(xa^2, q)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq t_A(a^2, q) \\ &\geq S \{ t_A(a, q), t_A(a, q) \} \\ &= t_A(a, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(a, q) &= f_A(xa^2y, q) \\ &\leq f_A(xa^2, q) \\ &\leq f_A(a^2, q) \\ &\leq S \{ f_A(a, q), f_A(a, q) \} \\ &= f_A(a, q) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $t_A(a, q) = t_A(a^2, q)$ for all $x, y \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proposition 3.5: Let 'A' be an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G. If S is an intra-regular then $A(ab, q) = A(ba, q)$ for all $a, b, \in G$ and $q \in Q$.

Proof: Let $a, b, \in G$ and $q \in Q$ then by proposition (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t_A(ab, q) &= t_A((ab)^2, q) \\ &\geq t_A(a(ba)b, q) \\ &\geq t_A(ba, q) = t_A((ba)^2, q) \\ &\geq t_A((b(ab)a), q) \\ &= t_A(ab, q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_A(ab, q) &= f_A((ab)^2, q) \\ &\leq f_A(a(ba)b, q) \\ &\leq f_A(ba, q) = f_A((ba)^2, q) \\ &\leq f_A((b(ab)a), q) \\ &= f_A(ab, q) \end{aligned}$$

So we have $t_A(ab, q) = t_A(ba, q)$ and $f_A(ab, q) = f_A(ba, q)$. Therefore $A(ab, q) = A(ba, q)$.

Proposition 3.6: A QBFS 'A' is Q- bifuzzy ideal of G if and only if the Q-fuzzy sets t_A and $\overline{f_A}$ are Q-fuzzy ideals of G.

Proof: Let 'A' be Q- bifuzzy ideal of G, then clearly t_A is a Q-fuzzy bi-ideal of G.

Let $x, a, y \in G, q \in Q$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f_A}(xy, q) &= 1 - f_A(xy, q) \\ &\geq 1 - S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \} \\ &= T \{ 1 - f_A(x, q), 1 - f_A(y, q) \} \\ &= T \{ \overline{f_A}(x, q), \overline{f_A}(y, q) \} \quad \text{and} \\ \overline{f_A}(xay, q) &= 1 - f_A(xay, q) \\ &\geq 1 - S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \} \\ &= T \{ 1 - f_A(x, q), 1 - f_A(y, q) \} \\ &= T \{ \overline{f_A}(x, q), \overline{f_A}(y, q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\overline{f_A}$ is a Q-fuzzy ideal of G. Conversely, suppose that t_A and f_A are Q-fuzzy ideals of G. Let $a, x, y \in G$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - f_A(xy, q) &= \overline{f_A}(xy, q) \\
 &\geq T \{ \overline{f_A}(x, q), \overline{f_A}(y, q) \} \\
 &= T \{ 1 - f_A(x, q), 1 - f_A(y, q) \} \\
 &= S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \} \\
 1 - f_A(xay, q) &= \overline{f_A}(xay, q) \\
 &= T \{ \overline{f_A}(x, q), \overline{f_A}(y, q) \} \\
 &= T \{ 1 - f_A(x, q), 1 - f_A(y, q) \} \\
 &= S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

which imply that $f_A(xy, q) \leq S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \}$
 and $f_A(xay, q) \leq S \{ f_A(x, q), f_A(y, q) \}$
 This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.7: An QBFS $A = (t_A, f_A)$ is an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G if and only if $\square A = (t_A, \overline{t_A})$ and $\diamond A = (\overline{f_A}, f_A)$ are Q- bifuzzy ideals of G .

Proof: It is sufficient to show that $\overline{t_A}$ satisfies the condition (i) in definition 2.1. and (ii) in definition of 2.3.

For any $a, x, y \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overline{t_A}(xy, q) &= 1 - t_A(xy, q) \\
 &\leq 1 - T \{ t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q) \} \\
 &= S \{ 1 - t_A(x, q), 1 - t_A(y, q) \} \\
 &= S \{ \overline{t_A}(x, q), \overline{t_A}(y, q) \} \quad \text{and} \\
 \overline{t_A}(xay, q) &= 1 - t_A(xay, q) \\
 &\leq 1 - T \{ t_A(x, q), t_A(y, q) \} \\
 &= S \{ 1 - t_A(x, q), 1 - t_A(y, q) \} \\
 &= S \{ \overline{t_A}(x, q), \overline{t_A}(y, q) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\square A$ is Q- bi fuzzy ideal of G .
 Similarly, we can show $\diamond A$ is Q- bi fuzzy ideal of G .

Proposition 3.8: Let $f : G \rightarrow T$ be a homomorphism of semi-groups. If $B = (t_B, f_B)$ is an Q- bifuzzy ideal of T , then the pre image $f^{-1}(B)$ of B under ‘ f ’ is an Q- bifuzzy ideal of G .

Proof: Assume that $B = (t_B, f_B)$ is an Q- bifuzzy bi-ideal of T and let $x, y \in G$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{-1}_{(t_B)}(xy, q) &= t_B(f(xy, q)) \\
 &= t_B(f(x, q), f(y, q)) \\
 &\geq T \{ t_B(f(x, q), t_B(f(y, q)) \} \\
 &= T \{ f^{-1}_{(t_B)}(x, q), f^{-1}_{(t_B)}(y, q) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{-1}_{(f_B)}(xy, q) &= f_B(f(xy, q)) \\
 &= f_B(f(x, q), f(y, q)) \\
 &\leq S \{ f_B(f(x, q), f_B(f(y, q)) \} \\
 &= S \{ f^{-1}_{(f_B)}(x, q), f^{-1}_{(f_B)}(y, q) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}_{(t_B)}, f^{-1}_{(f_B)})$ is Q- bifuzzy sub semi-group of G . For any

$x, a, y \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}_{(t_B)}(xay, q) &= t_B(f(xay, q)) \\ &= t_B(f(x, a), f(a, q), f(y, q)) \\ &\geq T \{ t_B(f(x, q), t_B(y, q)) \} \\ &= T \{ f^{-1}(t_B(x, q), f^{-1}(t_B(y, q))) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}_{(f_B)}(xay, q) &= f_B(f(xay, q)) \\ &= f_B(f(x, a), f(a, q), f(y, q)) \\ &\leq S \{ f_B(f(x, q), f_B(f(y, q))) \} \\ &= S \{ f^{-1}(f_B(x, q), f^{-1}(f_B(y, q))) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $f^{-1}(B)$ is Q -bifuzzy ideal of G .

Proposition 3.9: If $\{A_i\}_{i \in \Lambda}$ is a family of Q -bifuzzy ideals of G then $\bigcap A_i$ is an Q -bifuzzy ideal of G , where

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap A_i &= \{ \bigwedge t_{A_i}, \bigvee f_{A_i} \} \text{ and} \\ \bigwedge t_{A_i}(x, q) &= S \{ t_{A_i}(x, q) / i \in \Lambda, x \in G \} \\ \bigvee f_{A_i}(x, q) &= S \{ f_{A_i}(x, q) / i \in \Lambda, x \in G \} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $x, y \in G$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge t_{A_i}(x, q) &= \bigwedge \{ T \{ t_{A_i}(x, q), t_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= T \{ T \{ t_{A_i}(x, q), t_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= T \{ T(t_{A_i}(x, q), T(t_{A_i}(y, q))) \} \\ &= T \{ \bigwedge t_{A_i}(x, q), \bigwedge t_{A_i}(y, q) \} \\ \bigvee f_{A_i}(xy, q) &\leq \bigvee \{ S \{ f_{A_i}(x, q), f_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= S \{ S \{ f_{A_i}(x, q), f_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= S \{ S(f_{A_i}(x, q), S(f_{A_i}(y, q))) \} \\ &= S \{ \bigvee f_{A_i}(x, q), \bigvee f_{A_i}(y, q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigcap A_i$ is Q -bifuzzy sub semi-group of G . Next for $x, y, a \in G$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge t_{A_i}(xay, q) &\geq \bigwedge \{ T \{ t_{A_i}(x, q), t_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= T \{ T \{ t_{A_i}(x, q), t_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= T \{ T(t_{A_i}(x, q), T(t_{A_i}(y, q))) \} \\ &= T \{ \bigwedge t_{A_i}(x, q), \bigwedge t_{A_i}(y, q) \} \\ \bigvee f_{A_i}(xay, q) &\leq \bigvee \{ S \{ f_{A_i}(x, q), f_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= S \{ S \{ f_{A_i}(x, q), f_{A_i}(y, q) \} \} \\ &= S \{ S(f_{A_i}(x, q), S(f_{A_i}(y, q))) \} \\ &= S \{ \bigvee f_{A_i}(x, q), \bigvee f_{A_i}(y, q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\bigcap A_i$ is Q -bifuzzy ideal of G . This completes the proof.

Conclusion

Kuroki. N [5] introduced the concept of fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals in a semi group and Lajos.S [8] investigate the concept of (1,2)-ideals of union of groups. [4] discussed the concept of Q -Vague groups and vague normal subgroups with respect to (T, S) norms. In this paper, we investigate the concept of Q -bifuzzy in several ideals of semi group and investigate some properties of such ideals.

References

- [1] Atanassov, K.T., “Bi Fuzzy sets” *Fuzzy sets and systems* 20 (1986), 87 – 96.
- [2] Atanassov, K.T., “New operations defined over the Bi fuzzy sets”, *Fuzzy sets and systems* 6 (1994), 137 – 142.
- [3] B.Chellappa and S.V. Manemaran, “Characterisation of Q-fuzzy M-Gamma subgroups of group with respect to T-norms”, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol.5, No.2(2010), 101-110.
- [4] B.Chellappa and S.V. Manemaran, “A New Structure of Homologous Q-Vague groups and Q-Vague Normal sub groups with respect to (T,S) norms”, Accepted for Publication in *International Journal of Algorithm Computing and Mathematics (IJACM)*, Vol.3, No.3 August 2010.
- [5] Kuroki. N, “On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in a semi-groups”, *Fuzzy sets and systems* 5 (1981), 203 – 215.
- [6] Kuroki.N, “fuzzy semi-prime ideals in semi-groups *Fuzzy sets and systems* 8 (1982), 71–79.
- [7] Lajos.S, “Generalized ideals in semi-groups”, *Acta. Sci. Math.* 2(1961), 217 – 222.
- [8] Lajos. S, “(1-2)-ideal characterizations of union of groups” *Math. Seminar Notes (Presently, Kobe, J.Math)* 5 (1997), 447 – 450.
- [9] Rosenfeld . A, “Fuzzy groups” *J. Math . Anal. Appl.* 35 (1971), 512 – 517.
- [10] Solairaju and R. Nagarajan, A New Structure and Construction of Q-fuzzy groups, *Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics*, 4(2009), 1, 23-29.
- [11] Zadeh. L.A. “Fuzzy sets”, *Information and Control*, 8 (1965), 338 – 353.

