Feature Selection for Character Recognition of Handwritten Devanagari and Odia Scripts

Vinod Jha¹ and K. Parvathi²

¹ Ph.D., Student, School of Electronics Engineering, KIIT Deemed to be University, India. ² Professor, School of Electronics Engineering, KIIT Deemed to be University, India.

Abstract

Handwritten character recognition has been a challenging task and it finds uses in many real time scenarios such as language translation, automatic Braille transliteration, automatic cheque book reading, automatic scanning of handwritten forms etc. This paper takes two Indian scripts namely Devanagari and Odia for handwritten character recognition and compares different gradient based features such as LBP, LDP, HOG and LOOP which can be used for learning the character pattern by a support vector classifier on various parameters. The paper uses two existing databases of handwritten Devanagari and Odia characters to train the support vector classifiers and compares the results of various features selection. It proposes the best possible feature for Devanagari and Odia character recognition based on graphical comparisons of parameters such as accuracy, training time and recognition rate. The maximum accuracy achieved on the Devanagari dataset of 92000 characters is 95.65% and the maximum accuracy achieved on the relatively small Odia dataset of 15400 characters is approximately 99%. The paper further investigates for the Devanagari characters getting misclassified more frequently.

Keywords: OCR, handwritten, LBP, LDP, HOG, LOOP, Devanagari, Odia.

1. INTRODUCTION

Handwritten character recognition has been a topic of research for many years now, but the problem is still open because of huge variation in writing style from one person to another. Further, Indian scripts are complicated in comparison to western scripts as the number of characters and modifiers are much more. In past few years, several works have been done for character recognition of various Indian scripts. Particularly the availability of strong database has increased the accuracy to an industry applicable level. While, the highest accuracies have been reported with the use of Deep Neural Networks, Support vector machines have comparable accuracies on character recognitions. Support vector machines have their own advantages of traditional neural networks. SVMs have simple geometrical representation and give sparse solution, further the independence of computation complexity on dimensionality of input space gives advantage to SVMs.

SVM's drives on the idea of creating a hyperplane between classes to classify the test data. SVMs are less prone to overfitting. SVM training always finds a global minimum [Burgess 1988] whereas ANNs can suffer from the problem of multiple local minima.

It is essential to choose the optimum feature to train SVMs to get better results. The character recognition is a classification problem where it is important to evaluate the number of times the input characters are getting recognized correctly. It is not required to calculate how many a times a character is getting predicted as true class wrongly or how many times a character is not getting recognized when it is the true class. So, Accuracy of the classifier is more important than its sensitivity and specificity. Further it is observed that gradient based features work well with text recognitions. In this paper two good existing databases in Odia and Hindi have been used for comparing the different gradient based features. The present work first discusses the researches been done for character recognition of Hindi and Odia scripts, then describes the features which are used to train SVM and then compares the results on chosen databases based on heuristically selected parameters to select the best performing feature on both the Hindi and Odia characters.

2. RELATED WORKS

Character recognition is done in three steps: Database selection (creation), feature selection and classifier selection. A database must be unbiased, must have been gathered from multiple sources and should be large. In last decade, several databases of handwritten Hindi and Odia scripts have [1-7] been created by taking required measures to create a workable database. Earlier researchers used to work and validate their work on self-made databases which were quite small, and it was difficult to compare results from various researchers. Further it has been observed [8-9] that SVM and ANN are the two most successful classifiers for image analysis, in this case character recognition. Both the techniques have their advantages and disadvantages. ANN has higher shown higher accuracy at the cost of computation complexity and hardware implementation complexity. SVM shows comparable accuracy but requires good features which can represent the images to be classifier in a better manner. This paper has focused on selecting features which can be used to train an

SVM without compromising on accuracy and cost of implementation. Features are a set of numbers that take the salient characteristics of the segmented image. Different classifier may work differently with a set of features [10]. Feature Selection is one of the most prime topics for character recognition. After choosing the right dataset and classifier for classification, features must be selected based on their performance on the chosen set of database and classifier. There are various kinds of features like gradient based features, texture-based features, statistical features, transform based features etc. which have been used with various classifiers in the past. Madhuri Yadav [11] used HOG and Hu moments to train SVM and reported an accuracy of 96.8% on one of the publicly available dataset. P.P.Roy [12] [15] have shown the use of various features like PHOG (pyramid histogram of oriented gradients), LGH (local gradient histogram), Gabor filter, GPHOG (Gabor filter followed by PHOG) and Marte-Bunke features with hidden Markov model. It was observed that 32 Gaussian Mixture PHOG features gave the highest accuracy on Devanagari dataset with 94.5% accuracy. Akanksha Gaur [13] used k-means clustering for feature extraction and used these features to train an SVM claiming an accuracy of 95.86%. Dayashankar Singh [14] trained a feed forward network with back propagation on 8 &16 directional gradient features to claim the highest accuracy of 95.86%. Veena Bansal [16] used geometric properties of the characters like Coverage of the region of the core strip, Vertical bar feature, Horizontal zero crossings, Number of positions of the vertex points, Moments, Structural descriptors of the characters for classification using a decision tree with accuracy claim of 93%. Geometrical features are mostly used with decision tree as their use with SVM like classifier results in reduced accuracies. Bamb Kalpesh in [8] has reviewed character recognition techniques for different languages in India and he observed that feature extraction technique is the most important step for character recognition. S. Singh et al. [17] have surveyed extensively about the existing works in the field of Odia character recognition and observed that researchers have used features likeZernike moments[18], genetic algorithm[19], DCT and DWT [20-21], Standard deviation and zone centroid average distance-based feature matrix[22], a feature extracted using LU factorization [23-24], geometric features like centroid, shadow-based features and distance-based features [25], PCA [26] and rectangular HOG [27]. Through various research reviews it has been observed that HOG with SVM have been successful with character recognition on a larger dataset. However, texture-based features like local binary pattern, local derivative pattern etc. have not been tested much for character recognition, especially on Indian scripts. Some of the very recent works [28-31] have emphasized on the use of such features because they tend to reduce the computational cost. So, the present work compares the two texture-based features LBP and its variant LOOP with the proven feature HOG by finding out these features on two largest datasets of Devanagari and Odia and applying them to a support vector classifier individually. The results are compared based on three parameters: accuracy, training time and recognition rate.

3. DESCRIPTION OF FEATURES

The character recognition problem has seen huge amount of research in recent past. Most of the image recognition problems have observed that gradient based features render the best information of images for training a classifier. Rather than representing the image by using true pixel values, features try to map the information in terms of local changes in the pattern. Character recognition is a in integral though a small part of text recognition which involves, line segmentation, word segmentation, character segmentation, recognition of modifiers and normalization of text after recognition of constituents. Therefore, it is essential to consider the recognition rate as one of the important parameters for selection of a feature. Another important parameter is the complexity of the feature which effects the hardware implementation of algorithm developed for text recognition. Based on this criterion the present work has heuristically chosen Local binary pattern (LBP), Local directional pattern (LDP), Histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) and Local optimal-oriented pattern (LOOP) as features for training support vector classifiers. Another reason for selection these features is the proven utility of them in various texture-based segmentation of images. These features offer fast computation and compressed representation of images to even reduce the dimensionality of the input feature space. Other features like SIFT and SURF have been proven good results for finding out key points in scene images but they are relatively computationally complex and application of such features to find key points in very small images like that of characters is very unlikely. Another parameter of comparison of features considered in this work is the training time. SVM is a classifier which does not take in to account all the inputs, its choses some of the inputs which are likely to be misclassified and calls them support vectors. Apart from the size of the feature vector, the training time may also be affected by the correlation of the features. So, the three parameters chosen for feature evaluation are the accuracy, the recognition rate and the training time.

3.1 Local Binary Pattern

LBP features [32] [35] are computationally very less costly as compared to other features. To find out LBP features for an image, the image is divided into grids of equal size and for each grid features are evaluated independently and finally they are vertically concatenated to form the feature vector for the image. LBP is of two types: circular and non-circular. To calculate the circular LBP feature vector for a single grid, neighborhood of each pixel is decided by making a circle about it and placing the predefined number of neighborhood locations N at equal angles starting at zero degree with horizontal axis as shown in Figure 1. If the neighborhood location falls in between pixels, then its pixel value is found out using interpolation of the surrounding pixels. In a noncircular LBP, simple N-neighborhood is considered to find the first order derivative in N-directions. Now considering the center pixel with pixel value P as the threshold, if any pixel at selected neighborhood location has value Q < P, then its value is replaced by zero otherwise by one. After assigning binary

values, pixel values are accumulated sequentially leaving the center pixel giving a N bit value. This N bit value is converted to decimal and it is assigned to the center pixel. The order in which it is collected is not specified, but process must be same for all the pixels. After assigning a new value to each pixel in the grid, A histogram is calculated for each grid which represents the feature vector of the grid.



Fig. 1: Neighborhood of pixel for different radii [38]

It is observed that practically a smaller number of binary patterns are repeated, and they are divided into two categories: Uniform binary patterns and Non-uniform binary patterns [33]. When 0-1 or 1-0 transitions are at max two in a binary pattern than it is termed as uniform binary pattern. There are 58 such codes for 8 neighborhoods. So, while binning each uniform code is given a separate bin whereas all the nonuniform patterns are kept in a single bin effectively giving only 59 bins for the case of 8 neighborhood.

3.2 Local Directional Pattern and Local Optimal-Oriented Pattern

If a non-circular LBP is thought of as a collection of filter bank it can be seen an application of 8 directional Sobel operator on the pixel surrounding as shown in Figure 2.



Fig. 2: Calculating LBP using filter bank [36]

Local directional pattern or LDP [32] is another local pattern which uses Kirsch derivatives in place of Sobel operators for finding directional derivatives. This has shown to be less susceptible to noise as compared to LBP.

[-3 -3 5]	[-3 5 5]	5 5 5	5 5 -3
-3 0 5	-3 0 5	-3 0 -3	5 0 -3
_3 _3 5	_3 _3 _3	_3 _3 _3	-3 -3 -3
East M_0	North East M_1	North M_2	North West M_3
5 -3 -3]	[-3 -3 -3]	[-3 -3 -3]	[-3 -3 -3]
5 0 -3	5 0 -3	-3 0 -3	-3 0 5
5 -3 -3	5 5 -3	5 5 5	_3 5 5
West M_4	South West M_5	South M_s	South East M_2

Fig.3: The 8 Kirsch masks used to find orientation magnitude in 8 directions [36]

Unlike LBP where the center pixel value is considered as threshold, threshold selection in LDP is empirical. Suppose N directional derivatives M_i where i = 0, 1, 2, ..., 7 are found using the Kirsch masks, then the kth highest value where k<N, is chosen as threshold and ith location pixel value is replaced as 1 if $M_i \ge M_k$ otherwise the ith pixel value is replaced by 0. So, in an N bit pattern obtained like this, always k number of bits are 1, this limits the number of possibilities of patterns. After assigning binary values to the neighboring pixels, the new values are assigned in similar manner as it is done in LBP.

Local optimal oriented pattern or LOOP [36] proposed in 2018 is an amalgamation of LBP and LDP which tries to overcome the drawbacks of LBP while retaining their utility. Like LDP the directional derivatives in LOOP are calculated using Kirsch masks, but like LBP, weights are assigned to each binary position according to the rank of the directional derivatives. If N neighborhood is considered, the position having highest directional derivative is given a weightage of 2^{N-1} and weights reduce by half for successively ranked directional derivative position. Assignment of binary values is done by assuming the center pixel as threshold value like LBP.

3.3 Histogram of Oriented gradients

HOG [34], like LBP is more than two-decade old feature used extensively in feature extraction of images for classification purposes. The gradients store the information of the shape very well and when these features are used with learning algorithms like SVM, the observed accuracy is remarkable. For finding HOG features of a block of image, gradient of the image block at every pixel is found. For every block, a fixed number of bins are made between 0 to 180 degrees and bins of a particular range of angles are filled by the magnitude (or proportion) of the gradients in that range.

4. RESULT ANALYSIS

The comparison of features is done based on three heuristically chosen parameters: accuracy, training time and recognition rate. Initial observations showed that LBP alone does not provide good accuracy as shown later. So, the texture-based feature alone does not work well for character recognition. It is also observed during literature survey that texture-based features work much better for object recognitions when they are combined with HOG feature. Adding to that, LOOP is modified LDP, therefore the three features for which comparisons are shown, are LBP, HOG and LOOP followed by HOG. For every feature, SVMs are trained for both the strong dataset taken into consideration and the results are compared.

The most important parameter of evaluating a character recognizer is its accuracy. It can be observed from table2 and table3 that HOG has outperformed the other features by having 95.54% and 98.33% accuracies for Hindi and Odia databases, respectively. While LBP has performed worst, LOOP with HOG has considerable improvement over LBP in overall accuracy with 83.61% and 87.83% for Hindi and Odia databases, respectively. In terms of recognition rate also, HOG features are slightly better than LOOP with HOG.

Hindi Character name	LBP	HOG	LOOP+ HOG	Odia Character	LBP	HOG	LOOP+ HOG
character_10_yna	89.3333	96	86.6667	ଅ	87.5	100	98.4375
character_11_taamatar	83	91.333	83.3333	ଆ	90.625	100	100
character_12_thaa	84.3333	97.667	85	ള	31.25	92.1875	64.0625
character_13_daa	72	96.333	81.3333	ଈ	73.4375	100	90.625
character_14_dhaa	67.6667	93.667	76.3333	ଉ	42.1875	96.875	64.0625
character_15_adna	89.6667	96.333	88.3333	ଊ	54.6875	100	71.875
character_16_tabala	86.6667	95.667	82.3333	ଋ	37.5	93.75	64.0625
character_17_tha	62.6667	86	76	ଋ	62.5	96.875	79.6875
character_18_da	65.6667	88.667	70.6667	প	98.4375	100	100
character_19_dha	80	90.667	81.3333	٩	84.375	100	90.625
character_1_ka	88.3333	96.667	90.3333	ß	71.875	100	98.4375
character_20_na	67.3333	90.333	69.3333	ଔ	71.875	100	93.75
character_21_pa	90	95.333	83.6667	କ	62.5	100	85.9375
character_22_pha	89.3333	96.667	94	ଖ	65.625	100	98.4375
character_23_ba	73.6667	89.667	68.6667	ଗ	26.5625	100	68.75
character_24_bha	72.6667	91.667	76	ଘ	46.875	100	89.0625
character_25_ma	74.3333	93.667	77.6667	ଙ	73.4375	100	98.4375
character_26_yaw	75	87.333	73	ଚ	43.75	100	90.625
character_27_ra	80.3333	97.667	85	ଞ	57.8125	100	100
character_28_la	84.3333	94	84.3333	ଜ	28.125	96.875	68.75
character_29_waw	64	87.667	64	¢	26.5625	100	93.75
character_2_kha	85	92.667	89.6667	8	78.125	95.3125	85.9375
character_30_motosaw	77.3333	95.667	90.3333	ଟ	87.5	100	100
character_31_petchiryakha	88	95	89.6667	0	100	100	100
character_32_patalosaw	62.3333	91	68.3333	ତ	50	92.1875	79.6875
character_33_ha	75	93.667	73.3333	ଜ	32.8125	100	71.875

Table 1. Recognition accuracy for individual characters for different selection of features on Hindi and Odia databases

Hindi Character name	LBP	HOG	LOOP+ HOG	Odia Character	LBP	HOG	LOOP+ HOG
character_34_chhya	82.6667	95	83.3333	ଣ	42.1875	95.3125	87.5
character_35_tra	81.6667	94	86	ତ	40.625	95.3125	78.125
character_36_gya	84	93.667	86.3333	S	68.75	100	95.3125
character_3_ga	80.6667	94.667	84	ଦ	64.0625	100	95.3125
character_4_gha	62.6667	89.667	72.6667	ଧ	87.5	100	93.75
character_5_kna	74.3333	93.667	79.6667	ନ	75	100	95.3125
character_6_cha	85.3333	94	80.6667		42.1875	100	93.75
character_7_chha	72.6667	90.333	70.6667	ଫ	87.5	100	100
character_8_ja	82.3333	93.667	76.6667	ବ	59.375	100	82.8125
character_9_jha	91.3333	94.333	88.3333	ଭ	42.1875	96.875	79.6875
digit_0	100	100	98.6667	ମ	53.125	100	89.0625
digit_1	99	98.667	96.3333	ଯ	50	95.3125	82.8125
digit_2	84.6667	98	94.6667	ର	48.4375	89.0625	70.3125
digit_3	88	96.333	90.3333	ଳ	78.125	96.875	98.4375
digit_4	94.6667	99.333	95.3333	ଲ	67.1875	100	93.75
digit_5	95	97.667	97	ୟ	84.375	100	95.3125
digit_6	86	97.333	91.3333	ଶ	78.125	95.3125	98.4375
digit_7	94.3333	98.667	94.3333	ଷ	73.4375	100	95.3125
digit_8	98	98.333	95	ସ	32.8125	100	90.625
digit_9	95.3333	98.667	96	ହ	64.0625	95.3125	76.5625
				କ୍ଷ	70.3125	100	89.0625

Table 2. Comparison of features performance on the Odia Database

Feature	Mean Accuracy (%)	Training time (sec)	Recognition rate (letters/sec)
LBP	61.60	13.7	4.4053
HOG	98.37	22.25	4.3478
LOOP+HOG	87.83	22.82	4.3103



Fig. 4: Comparison of features performance on the Odia Database

Feature	Mean Accuracy (%)	Training time (sec)	Recognition rate (letters/sec)
LBP	81.67	508	50
HOG	95.54	496	45.45
LOOP+HOG	83.61	1377	40

Table 3. Comparison of features performance on the Hindi Database



Fig. 5: Comparison of features performance on the Hindi Database

Since Odia database is comparatively small than Hindi database, the slightly less accuracy obtained for Hindi Database can be reasoned to this. However, the observed accuracy of 95.54% on such a large database of 92000

characters is remarkable and practically implementable. By doing hyperparameter tuning, the accuracy of the classifier with HOG reached to 95.66%. The Odia SVM classifier with HOG features has shown consistent accuracy over all the

characters. In Hindi character recognition using HOG features, some of the characters have shown very less accuracies compared to others. Also, some of the characters have been detected wrongly more often than others. This mix-up can be analyzed by the following figures.

False_positive_characters	percentage_occurance
character_29_waw	18.667
character_26_yaw	17.667
character_17_tha	16
character_4_gha	15.667
character_20_na	12.667
character_23_ba	11
character_25_ma	9.6667
character_7_chha	9.6667
character_21_pa	8.6667
character_18_da	8.3333

Fig. 6: The ten most often wrongly predicted

percentage_accuracy		
86		
87.333		
87.667		
88.667		
89.667		
89.667		

character_19_dha	90.667
character_32_patalosaw	91

character_20_na

character_7_chha

Fig. 7: Ten classes with last accuracy

90.333

90.333

It can be observed from figure 6 & 7 that the classes getting often predicted wrongly are close in representation with the classes having least accuracies. e.g. character_29_waw and character_23_ba are similar and hence features in both figure 6 & 7. Similarly, character_17_tha & character_26_ya, character_4_gha & character 19 dha close. are Some writer's character_21_pa may be close with some other writer's character_25_ma. So, along with HOG features of the characters if some region properties are also used for training the classifier, slightly more accuracy can be expected.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This research work has studied the previous works in character recognition of Hindi and Odia scripts. It choses SVM classifier for character recognition and heuristically selects three features for making a character recognizer keeping in mind the hardware implement ability. It achieved the accuracies of close to 82, 96 & 84 percent respectively for LBP, HOG and LOOP features used in Hindi character classification. It achieved the accuracies of close to 62, 98 & 88 percent respectively for LBP, HOG and LOOP features used in Odia character classification. It than showed that for both the scripts, HOG outperforms the other features in terms of accuracy which is the most desirable aspect for a character recognizer. Further it analyzed the reason of misclassification in case of Hindi classifier with HOG features and reasoned the misclassification to the closeness in the representation of one character written by one person to another character written by another person. The work then suggested that if some region properties of the characters is also used along with the HOG features of the classifier then accuracy can be slightly improved.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ashok kumar Pant et al., "Off-line Nepali handwritten character recognition using Multilayer Perceptron and Radial Basis Function neural networks", 2012 Third Asian Himalayas International Conference on Internet, pages 1-5
- [2] Pal U., N. Sharma et al., "Off-Line Handwritten Character Recognition of Devanagari Script", International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), 23-26 Sept. 2007, Vol. 1, pages 496 – 500.
- [3] U. Pal, T. Wakabayashi and F. Kimura, "Comparative Study of Devanagari Handwritten Character Recognition using Different Feature and Classifiers", International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition (ICDAR), 2009, pp.1111-1115.
- [4] Vipin Narang et al., "Devanagari Character Recognition in scene images", ICDAR 2013.
- [5] O V Ramana Murthy et al. "Devanagari Character Recognition in the Wild", International Journal of Computer Applications, 38(4):38-45, January 2012
- [6] Kartik Dutta et al., "Offline Handwriting Recognition on Devanagari using a new Benchmark Dataset", DAS, 2018
- [7] Tusar Kanti Mishra et al. "Model based odia numeral recognition using fuzzy aggregated features", Front. Comput. Sci., Springer, 2014, 8(6): 916–922, DOI 10.1007/s11704-014-3354-9
- [8] Bamb Kalpesh K. "A Literature Survey on Character Recognition Of Indian Scripts for New Researchers",

IJMTER, vol. 3, issue 4, April 2016

- [9] M. Yadav et al"Handwritten Hindi character recognition: a review," in IET Image Processing, vol. 12, no. 11, pp. 1919-1933, 11 2018, doi: 10.1049/ietipr.2017.0184.
- [10] Øivind Due Trier et al., "Feature extraction methods for character recognition: a survey" Pattern recognition, Volume 29, Issue 4, April 1996, Pages 641-662
- [11] Madhuri Yadav et al., "Hindi handwritten character recognition using oriented gradients and Hugeometric moments," Journal of Electronic Imaging 27(5), 051216 (12 April 2018). https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JEI.27.5.051216
- [12] P.P. Roy et.al. "HMM based Indic handwritten word recognition using zone segmentation", Pattern Recognition,60(2016), pp 1057-1075
- [13] Akanksha Gaur et.al., "Handwritten Hindi character recognition using K-means clustering and SVM", ETTLIS, IEEE, 2015
- [14] Dayashankar Singh et.al., "Analysis of handwritten Hindi character recognition using advanced feature extraction technique and back propagation neural network", IJCA, vol. 97, July 2014, page no. 7-14
- [15] P. P. Roy et al. "A Novel Approach of Handwritten Text Recognition using HMM", International Conference on Frontiers in Handwriting Recognition, pp.661-666, 2014
- [16] Gyanendra K. Verma et.al., "Handwritten Hindi character recognition using curvelet transform", ICISIL, 2011, pp. 224-227
- [17] S. Singh, P. K. Sarangi, C. Singla et al., "Odia character recognition system: A study on feature extraction and classification techniques", Materials Today: Proceedings, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.04.680
- [18] T. Jindal and U. Bhattacharya, "Recognition of Offline Handwritten Numerals Using an Ensemble of MLPs Combined by Adaboost", Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Multilingual OCR, ACM, Washington, DC, USA, 2013.
- [19] D. Padhi, "Novel Hybrid Approach for Odia Handwritten Character Recognition System", Int. J. Adv. Res. Comput. Scien. Software Engineering 2 (5) (2012) 150–157
- [20] T. K. Mishra, B. Majhi and S. Panda, "A Comparative Analysis of Image Transformations for Handwritten Odia Numeral Recognition", International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics, pp 790 - 793, 2013
- [21] B. Dash, Pradhan S. and Rana S., "Odia Offline Character Recognition using DWT Features" IOSR

Journal of Electronics and Communication Engineering, National Conference on Mechatronics, Computing & Signal Processing (MCSP) 2016, pp 31-37

- [22] D. Padhi and D. Senapati, "Zone Centroid Distance and Standard Deviation Based Feature Matrix for Odia Handwritten Character Recognition", Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, Vol. 199, AISC, 2013, pp649-658
- [23] P. K. Sarangi, P. Ahmed, "Recognition of handwritten Odia numerals using artificial intelligence techniques", The International Journal of Computer Science & Applications, Vol-2, Issue-2, 2013, pp-41–48
- [24] P. K. Sarangi, P. Ahmed, and Kiran K. Ravulakollu, "Naïve Bayes Classifier with LU Factorization for Recognition of Handwritten Odia Numerals", Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol- 7, Issue-1, 2014, pp-35–38
- [25] I. Rushiraj, Kundu S. and Ray B. "Handwritten Character Recognition of Odia Script", International conference on Signal Processing, Communication, Power and Embedded System (SCOPES), Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, Howrah,2016
- [26] A. Sethy, P.K. Patra, "Off-line Odia Handwritten Character Recognition: an Axis Constellation Model Based Research", International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, Volume-8, Issue- 9 (S2) (2019) 788–793
- [27] A. Sethy, P.K. Patra, R-HOG Features Based off-line Odia Handwritten character recognition, Exam. Fractal Image Proce. Anal. (2020), https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-0066-8.ch01
- [28] Jeng-Hau Lin et al., "Local Binary Pattern Networks for Character Recognition" ICLR 2019 Conference Blind Submission, openreview.net
- [29] N. Ilmi, W. T. A. Budi and R. K. Nur, "Handwriting digit recognition using local binary pattern variance and K-Nearest Neighbor classification," 2016 4th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICoICT), Bandung, 2016, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ICoICT.2016.7571937.
- [30] C. Saha, R. H. Faisal and M. Mostafijur Rahman, "Bangla Handwritten Character Recognition Using Local Binary Pattern and Its Variants," 2018 International Conference on Innovations in Science, Engineering and Technology (ICISET), Chittagong, Bangladesh, 2018, pp. 236-241, doi: 10.1109/ICISET.2018.8745645.
- [31] S. Manjula and R. S. Hegadi, "Recognition of Oriya and English languages based on LBP features," 2017 Second International Conference on Electrical,

Computer and Communication Technologies (ICECCT), Coimbatore, 2017, pp. 1-3, doi: 10.1109/ICECCT.2017.8117811.

- [32] Tapabrata chakraborti, "Local Binary Patterns (LBP) and variants" (https://www.github.com/tapabratachakraborti/LBP-LDP-LOOP), GitHub. Retrieved June 21, 2020.
- [33] Olli Lahdenoja et al., "Towards Understanding the Formation of Uniform Local Binary Patterns", International scholarly research notices, Hindavi, 2013
- [34] Vinod Jha, K. Parvathi, "Braille Transliteration Of Hindi Handwritten Texts Using Machine Learning For Character Recognition", International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, Vol.8, Issue 10, October 2019
- [35] D. Narain Ponraj, E. Christy, A. G., S. G. and M. Sharu, "Analysis of LBP and LOOP Based Textural Feature Extraction for the Classification of CT Lung Images," 2018 4th International Conference on Devices, Circuits and Systems (ICDCS), Coimbatore, 2018, pp. 309-312, doi: 10.1109/ICDCSyst.2018.8605138.
- [36] T. Chakraborti, B. McCane, S. Mills and U. Pal, "LOOP Descriptor: Local Optimal-Oriented Pattern," in IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 635-639, May 2018, doi: 10.1109/LSP.2018.2817176.
- [37] CJC Burges, "A tutorial on support vector machines for pattern recognition", Data mining and knowledge discovery, 1998 – Springer
- [38] https://towardsdatascience.com/face-recognitionhow-lbph-works-90ec258c3d6b