

## On Characterization Non-Commutative Structure of Spin Manifold

Niranjan Kumar Mishra

*Research Scholar, L.N.M.U., Darbhanga, Bihar, India*

### ABSTRACT

Our main aim is to derive the non-commutative structures of manifolds using spectral triples. The triples in the  $\text{spin}^c$  case, strengthen usual conditions given by A. Connes<sup>(2)</sup>. we derive to  $\text{spin}^c$  condition with a Riemannian condition. We show that such a Riemannian spectral triple represents a generalization of Kasparov's<sup>(4)</sup> fundamental class in KK-theory. We establish here relations Kasparov's translation between the  $\text{spin}^c$  and Riemannian Poincare duality isomorphisms.

**Key-word:** “(Spectral, Spin manifold, Poincare duality, Kasparov product, Isomorphic )”

### 1.1 Introduction

We discuss here some conditions required for formulation of non-commutative structure.

1. Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote separable unital  $C^*$ -algebras. Whereas  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  denote dense  $*$ -subalgebras  $\tilde{A} \subset A$  and  $\tilde{B} \subset B$ .  $\tilde{A}$  is equipped with a locally convex topology finer than that given by the  $C^*$ -norm of  $A$ , and similarly for  $\tilde{B}$  and  $B$ .
2. In a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $a \geq 0$  means that  $a$  is a positive element of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , let us write  $a > 0$  when  $a$  is a nonzero positive element of  $A$ .
3. For any algebra  $A$ , its opposite algebra is denoted by  $A^o$  with elements  $a^o, b^o$ , satisfying the condition  $a^o b^o = (ba)^o$ .
4. Let us choose “inner products”: in two different ways (i) hermitian pairings with values in a  $*$ -algebra such as  $(e|f)_A$  or  ${}_B(e|f)$ , and (ii) scalar products of vectors in a Hilbert space have angle brackets, like  $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle$ .

5. Zhang and Cacic introduced "almost commutative" spectral triples. The spectral triple over  $C^\infty(M)$  in the non-spin<sup>c</sup> case, by taking twisted K-theory to analyse orientability. Boeijink and van Suijlekom formulated a spectral triple over the Clifford algebra bundle.
6. Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  denote a spectral triple over a trivially graded unital  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , topologised as a separable Frechet algebra for which the operator norm on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is continuous. The following conditions introduced by Connes enable us to derive a reconstruction theorem for compact spin<sup>c</sup> manifolds.
  - a. (Regularity). The spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is  $QC^\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is then a Frechet pre- $C^*$ -algebra.
  - b. (Dimension). The spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is  $Z_p$ -summable for a fixed positive integer  $p$ . Thus, if  $\text{Tr}_\omega$  denotes any Dixmier trace corresponding to a Dixmier limit  $\omega$ , the linear functional  $\psi_\omega(a) := \text{Tr}_\omega(a \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p})$  is defined (and positive) on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

## 1.2 Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  be a spectral triple satisfying (i) and (ii). Let us further that  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  is finite projective over  $\mathcal{A}$ , so that  $\mathcal{H}_\infty \approx p\mathcal{A}^n$  for some projector  $p \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ . Also,  $\mathcal{A}$  commutes with  $p$  and that only scalars commute with all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then any right Hermitian pairing on  $\mathcal{H}_\infty \approx p\mathcal{A}^n$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) is a positive multiple.

### Proof

By an appropriate application assertion of theorem (1.2) there is a positive invertible element  $r \in pM_n(\mathcal{A})p$  such that the given pairing is of the form, i.e.,  $(\xi|\eta)_r \equiv \sum_{j,k} a_j^* r_{jk} b_k$  for  $\xi = \sum_j \xi_j a_j$ ,  $\eta = \sum_k \xi_k b_k$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ . If  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , then since  $ap = pa$  we get  $a^* \xi = \sum_j \xi_j a^* a_j$  and  $a\eta = \sum_k \xi_k a b_k \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ . The formula reduces it to the form.

$$\langle \xi | a\eta \rangle = \langle a^* \xi | \eta \rangle = \text{Tr}_\omega((a^* \xi | \eta)_r \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) = \text{Tr}_\omega(\xi | r^{-1} a \eta)_r \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p} = \langle \xi | r^{-1} a \eta \rangle \dots (1)$$

Hence  $[r, a] = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Now since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a selfadjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we obtain, for  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \mathcal{D} \xi | \eta \rangle - \langle \xi | \mathcal{D} \eta \rangle = \text{Tr}_\omega(((\mathcal{D} \xi | \eta)_r - (\xi | \mathcal{D} \eta)_r) \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_\omega(((\mathcal{D} \xi | r \eta) - (\xi | r \mathcal{D} \eta)) \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) = : \langle \langle \mathcal{D} \xi | r \eta \rangle \rangle - \langle \langle r \xi | \mathcal{D} \eta \rangle \rangle \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\langle \langle \xi | \eta \rangle \rangle := \langle r^{-1} \xi | \eta \rangle$  defines a new Hilbert space scalar product. Since  $r^{-1}$  is bounded with bounded inverse, this scalar product  $\langle \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \rangle$  is topologically equivalent to the old one  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ , and so  $\mathcal{H}$  coincides with the completion of  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  with respect to either scalar product. Now the right hand side<sup>(2)</sup> is the quadratic form defining the commutator  $[\mathcal{D}, r]$  with respect to the scalar product  $\langle \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \rangle$ . It vanishes on  $\mathcal{H}_\infty$  and thus  $[\mathcal{D}, r] = 0$ . The irreducibility

condition now implies that  $r$  is (a positive multiple of) the identity  $p$  in  $pM_n(\mathcal{A})p$ , represented by a scalar operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Hence, the theorem is proved.

### 1.3 Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, c)$  be a noncommutative oriented  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold such that only scalars commute with all  $a \in A$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $H_\infty$  is finite projective as both a left and a right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, and  $(\mathcal{A})$  is finite projective as a left or right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. equivalently, the relations

$$\langle \eta | \xi \rangle = \psi_\omega((\eta | \eta \xi)_{\mathcal{A}}) = \text{Tr}_\omega((\eta | \xi)_{\mathcal{A}} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p})$$

hold for all  $\xi, \eta \in H_\infty$ . In particular,  $\psi_\omega$  is faithful on  $\mathcal{A}^0$ .

#### Proof

Let us put  $H_\infty = \mathcal{C}^m q$ , combining the condition (ii) with theorem (1.2) we get  $H_\infty \simeq p\mathcal{A}^n$  and  $\mathcal{C} = pM_n(\mathcal{A})p$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  commutes with the projector  $p$ . That property ensures that the partial trace  $\text{tr} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , defined on  $T = [t_{ij}] \in pM_n(\mathcal{A})p$  by  $\text{tr}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ii}$ , is a well-defined operator-valued weight. It also shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is finite projective as a left or right  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, because  $pM_n(\mathcal{A})p \subset M_n(\mathcal{A})$  is a direct summand as an  $A$ -module precisely because  $p$  commutes with the action of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We thud find that  $H_\infty$  is a finite projective left module over  $A$ , since  $H_\infty = \mathcal{C}^m q$  is a direct summand in  $\mathcal{C}^m$ , is also a direct summand in  $\mathcal{A}^{mn^2}$ . But taking help of the assertion of theorem (1.3) the left  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued inner product on  $H_\infty$  is given on  $\xi = \sum_j \xi_j a_j$  and  $\eta = \sum_k \eta_k b_k$  which may expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi | \eta)_{\mathcal{A}} &= \lambda \sum_{j,k} a_i^* a_{ij} b_i, \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{Tr}_\omega((\xi | \eta)_{\mathcal{A}} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) &= \lambda \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}_\omega(a_i^* a_{ij} b_i \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) \\ &= \lambda \sum_{i,j} \text{Tr}_\omega(p_{ij} b_j a_k^* p_{kj} b_i \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) \\ &= \text{Tr}_\omega(\text{tr}(\mathcal{C}(\eta | \xi) \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p})) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3)$$

the  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$  inner product is determined by the Morita equivalence condition. We conclude here that  $\mathcal{H} \simeq pL^2(\mathcal{A}, \psi_\omega)^n$  and trace is precisely  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} = \text{Tr}_L^2(\mathcal{A}, \psi_\omega)^0 \text{tr}$ , in view of the positivity condition of trace to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence, the theorem is proved.

$$\text{Tr}_\omega((\xi | \eta)_{\mathcal{A}} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) = \text{Tr}_\omega(\mathcal{C}(\eta | \xi) \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) = \langle \xi | \eta \rangle$$

### 1.4 Theorem

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, c)$  be a  $p$ -dimensional noncommutative  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold with

Kasparov class  $\mu \in \text{KK}^p(A \otimes A^\circ, C) \simeq \text{KK}(A \otimes A^\circ \otimes C\ell^p_1, C)$ . Regard the conjugate module  $(H_\infty \otimes C_p^2)^1$  as an  $(A \otimes C_1^p)\text{-}\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ -bimodule, graded in odd spectral dimensions, with class  $\sigma \in \text{KK}(C^\circ, \otimes A^\circ C^p_1)$ . Then  $\lambda := \sigma \otimes_{A \otimes C^p_1} \mu \in \text{KK}(A \otimes C^\circ, C)$  is the class of a non-commutative Riemannian manifold. If  $\mu$  satisfies  $\text{spin}^c$  Poincare duality, then  $\lambda$  satisfies Riemannian Poincare duality.

### Proof

Let us first prove the even case the odd case follows after modifications. We begin with the noncommutative  $\text{spin}^c$  manifold  $((\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, c)$  and the pre-Morita equivalence bimodule  $H_\infty$  between  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  given by the  $\text{spin}^c$  condition. Let us identify  $H_\infty = q\mathcal{A}^m$ . The conjugate module  $H_\infty$  gives a pre-Morita equivalence between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \simeq qM^m(\mathcal{A})q$ . The spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} H^1_1, \widehat{\mathcal{D}}) = (A, H^m q, \widehat{\mathcal{D}})$ , which satisfies the first-order condition. It implies that

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rangle^{-p} = q^\circ \langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rangle^{-p} \otimes 1_m q^\circ + B, \text{ where } B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{1\infty}(\mathcal{H}^m q)$$

Let us now prove that  $B$  has vanishing Dixmier trace, Put  $\mathcal{D}'_m = q^\circ(\mathcal{D} \otimes 1_m)q^\circ$ , so that  $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D}'_m + \widehat{A}$  with  $\widehat{A}$  bounded. we find that  $(i + \widehat{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} (i + \mathcal{D}'_m)^{-1} \text{ mod } Z_{p/2}$ , and thus  $\langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rangle^{-1} \equiv \langle \mathcal{D}'_m \rangle^{-1} \text{ mod } Z_{p/2}$ .

The operator trace over  $\mathcal{H}^m q$  is  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \text{tr}_m(q^\circ(\cdot)q^\circ)$  with  $\text{tr}_m$  denoting a matrix trace. The left action of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$  commutes with  $q^\circ$ , thus, if  $w$  is an even element of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$  we get an equality of Dixmier traces:

$$\text{Tr}_\omega^{\mathcal{H}^m q}(\omega \langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rangle^{-p}) = \text{Tr}_\omega^{\mathcal{H}^m q}(\omega q^\circ \langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rangle^{-p}) = \text{Tr}_\omega^{\mathcal{H}}(\omega \text{tr}^m(q^\circ) \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) \quad \dots(4)$$

We must now show that the new spectral triple satisfies Condition. The  $\text{spin}^c$  condition, namely that  $H_\infty$  is a pre-Morita equivalence bimodule between  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $A$ , shows that there are finitely many vectors  $\xi_j$ ,  $\eta_j \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$  such that

$$\Theta_{\xi_1 \eta_1} + \dots + \Theta_{\xi_m \eta_m} = \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}) \quad \dots(5)$$

Consider the vector  $\Phi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes_A \mathcal{H}^{\parallel_\infty}$  defined by

$$\Phi := \xi_1 \otimes \eta_1^{\parallel} + \dots + \xi_m \otimes \eta_m^{\parallel}$$

We prove that  $\Phi$  is an algebraically cyclic vector for  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ , and that the vector state  $\sigma_\Phi : \omega \rightarrow \langle \Phi | \omega \Phi \rangle$  is of the form

$$\sigma_\Phi(\omega) = \text{Tr}_\omega^{\mathcal{H}^m q}(\omega z \langle \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rangle^{-p}) \quad \dots(6)$$

for a central element  $z \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$ . Under the isomorphism  $\Lambda : \Theta_{\xi\eta} \rightarrow \xi \otimes \eta^{\parallel} : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty \otimes_A \mathcal{H}^{\parallel_\infty}$ , the vector  $\Phi$  is just the image  $\Lambda(1)$  of the unit element of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$ .

$$\mathbf{1} = \sum_k \Theta_{\xi_k} \eta_k = \mathbf{1}^2 \sum_{j,k} \Theta_{\xi_k(n_k|\xi_j)} \mathbf{a}_i, \quad \eta_i = \sum_{j,k} \Theta_{\xi_k(n_k|\xi_j)} \mathbf{a}_i, \quad \eta_i \dots (7)$$

so that  $\eta_k = \sum_j \eta_j(\xi_j | \eta_k)_A$  for each  $k$ . Moreover, if  $w = \sum_{i,k} \Theta_{\xi_i} a_i \eta_k b_k \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{A})$ , then it reduces to the form

$$\begin{aligned} \omega\Phi &= \sum_{i,j,k} \xi_i \mathbf{a}_i (\eta_k \mathbf{b}_k | \xi_j) \mathcal{A} \otimes \eta_j^\parallel = \sum_{i,j,k} \xi_i \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_k^* (\eta_k | \xi_j) \mathcal{A} \otimes \eta_j^\parallel \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \xi_i \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{b}_k^* \otimes \eta_j^\parallel = \sum_{i,k} \xi_i \mathbf{a}_i \otimes (\eta_k \mathbf{b}_k)^\parallel = \Lambda(\omega) \dots (8) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $w \rightarrow w\Phi = \Lambda(w)$  maps  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$  onto  $H_\infty \otimes_A \mathcal{H}^\parallel_\infty$ , so that  $\Phi$  is algebraically cyclic, and it is separating as well. since the pre-Morita equivalence implies that  $\Lambda$  is bijective. The scalar product on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_A \mathcal{H}^\parallel_\infty$  is given on the dense subspace  $\mathcal{H}_\infty \otimes_A \mathcal{H}^\parallel_\infty$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi \otimes \eta^\parallel | \varsigma \otimes \rho^\parallel \rangle &:= \langle \xi_{\mathcal{A}} (\eta^\parallel | \rho^\parallel) | \varsigma \rangle = \langle \xi(\eta|\rho)\mathcal{A} | \varsigma \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}_\omega((\xi(\eta|\rho)\mathcal{A}|\varsigma)_{\mathcal{A}} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) = \text{Tr}_\omega((\eta|\rho)_{\mathcal{A}}(\xi|\varsigma)_{\mathcal{A}} \langle \mathcal{D} \rangle^{-p}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\xi, \eta, \varsigma, \rho \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$ . Hence, the theorem is proved.

## Reference

- [1] J. Boeijink and W. D. van Suijlekom, (2011)
- [2] A. Connes, (1994)
- [3] J. M. Gracia-Bond\_a, J. C. Varilly and H. Figueroa, (2001)
- [4] T. Krajewski, (1998)
- [5] V. Gayral, J. M. Gracia-Bondia, B. Iochum, T. Schucker and J. C. Varilly, (2004)
- [6] The non-commutative geometry of Yang{Mills fields", J. Geom. Phys. 61, 1122{1134
- [7] Noncommutative Geometry, Academic Press, London and San Diego,
- [8] Elements of Noncommutative Geometry, Birkhauser, Boston,
- [9] Classification of finite spectral triples", J. Geom. Phys. 28, 1{30
- [10] Moyal planes are spectral triples", Commun. Math. Phys. 246, 569{623.

