

## A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Six Self Mappings under $D^*$ -compatibility

Dr. V.H. Badshah<sup>1</sup>, Swati Choursiya<sup>2</sup> and Dr. V.K. Gupta<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Prof. and Head, School of Studies in Mathematics,  
Vikram University, Ujjain M.P.-45001.*

*E-mail: dr.vhbadshah@gmail.com*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Studied in Mathematics,  
Vikram University, Ujjain M.P.-45001.*

*E-mail: swati.choursiya09@gmail.com*

<sup>3</sup>*Govt. Madhav Science College, Vikram University, Ujjain M.P.*

*E-mail: dr\_vkg61@yahoo.com*

### Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce the concept of  $D^*$  - compatibility of pair of self maps in a metric space. Using this concept we try to establish a unique common fixed point theorem for six self mappings which satisfy a more general contractive inequality of integral type. The result obtained is a significant generalization of result of general contractive condition of integral type reported earlier and the result obtained is novel.

**Keywords:** compatible maps, metric space, common fixed point.

### Introduction

There are numerous generalizations of the Banach contraction principle. After an interesting result of Kannan [5] many existence theorems dealing with the mappings satisfying various types of contractive conditions. In 1972 Bianchini [1] established a new result by using different contractive condition [5]. Branciari [2] obtained a fixed point theorem for a mapping for an integral type inequality. Rhoades [6] proved two fixed point theorem involving more general contraction conditions. Many authors proved common fixed point theorem using the concept of weakly compatible mapping [4]. The first important result in the theory of fixed point of compatible mappings was obtained by Gerald Jungck in 1986 [3] as a generalization of commuting mappings. Sahu and Sharma [8] introduced the notion of  $D$ -compatibility in a set  $X$  which is not

necessarily metric space. Sahu and Dewangan [7] introduced the notation of  $D^*$ -compatibility as a generalization of  $D$ -compatibility in  $d$ -topological spaces and established some fixed point theorems. It is important to note that every  $D$ -compatible pair is  $D^*$ -compatible but converse is not true. **[Example 1]** One can easily observe that the notion of  $D^*$ -compatibility is an important generalization of various known commuting and non-commuting mappings. The work reported here is the generalization of P. Vijayaraju, B.E. Rhoades and R. Mohanraj [9]. A fixed point theorems for a map satisfying a general contractive condition of Integral type.

## Preliminaries

### Definition

Let  $A$  and  $S$  be two mappings from a metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself. The mappings  $A$  and  $S$  are said to be compatible if  $d(ASx_n, SAx_n) = 0$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $Sx_n = Ax_n = x$ , for some  $x \in X$ .

### Definition

Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $A, S: X \rightarrow X$  be two self mappings. Then  $\{A, S\}$  is said to be  $D$ -compatible if  $Au = Su$  for some  $u \in X \Rightarrow ASu = SAu$ .

### Definition

The self mappings  $A$  and  $S$  defined on a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be  $D^*$ -compatible if  $Au = Su$  for some  $u \in X \Rightarrow d(A^2u, Au) = d(S^2u, Su)$ .

The following example shows that  $D^*$ -compatibility is more general than  $D$ -compatibility.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = [0, 2]$  with usual metric. Let  $A, S: X \rightarrow X$  be a mappings defined as

$$Ax = 2 - x, \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \text{ and } Sx = x + 1, \text{ if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ = 0, \text{ if } 1 \leq x \leq 2 = 2, \text{ if } 1 \leq x \leq 2.$$

Then  $x = 1/2$  is a coincidence point of  $A$  and  $S$ , So  $d(S^2 1/2, S 1/2) = d(A^2 1/2, A 1/2) = 1$ .

Then  $\{A, S\}$  is  $D^*$ -compatible. But  $AS 1/2 \neq SA 1/2$ . So  $\{A, S\}$  is not  $D$ -compatible.

### Proposition 1

Every  $D$ -compatible pair is  $D^*$ -compatible.

### Proof

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A, S: X \rightarrow X$  be two self mappings. Let the pair  $\{A, S\}$  be compatible. Then  $Au = Su$  for some  $u \in X \Rightarrow ASu = SAu$ . Hence

$$d(A^2u, Au) = d(ASu, Au)$$

$$= d(SAu, Su)$$

$$= d(S^2u, Su).$$

Therefore,  $\{A, S\}$  is  $D^*$ -compatible.

Example above shows that the converse of proposition is not true in general.

**Result**

**Theorem.**

Let  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$  be a six self mappings from metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself satisfying the following conditions:

$$S(X) \subset PQ(X), T(X) \subset AB(X);$$

Pair  $(S, AB)$  and  $(T, PQ)$  are  $D^*$ -compatible;

Either  $AB$  or  $PQ$  is complete subspace of  $X$ ;

$$AB = BA, PQ = QP, SB = BS, TQ = QT;$$

$$\int_0^d (Sx, Ty) \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABx, PQy) \varphi(t) dt \right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where

$$M(ABx, PQy) = \max \left\{ d(ABx, PQy), d(Sx, ABx), d(Ty, PQy), \frac{d(Sx, PQy) + d(Ty, ABx)}{2} \right\},$$

Where  $\varphi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$ . Then  $A, B, S, T, P$  and  $Q$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof**

Let  $x_0$  be any point in  $X$ . From (3.1.1) there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that

$$Sx_0 = PQx_1, \text{ and } Tx_1 = ABx_2.$$

Inductively, we can construct sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = PQx_{2n+1}$$

$$\text{and } y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = ABx_{2n+2} \text{ for } n=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Put  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^d (Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \varphi(t) dt &= \int_0^d (y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABx_{2n}, PQx_{2n+1}) \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \varphi(t) dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

Put  $x = x_{2n+1}$  and  $y = x_{2n+2}$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^d (Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \varphi(t) dt = \int_0^d (y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \varphi(t) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABx_{2n+1}, PQx_{2n+2}) \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \varphi(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $d_0 = \int_0^d (y_0, y_1) \varphi(t) dt$ . Therefore for each  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\int_0^d (y_n, y_{n+1}) \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (y_{n-1}, y_n) \varphi(t) dt \right) \leq \psi^n(d_0).$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using Triangular inequality, we get

$$d(y_n, y_m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} d(y_i, y_{i+1}).$$

It can be show by induction that

$$\int_0^d (y_n, y_m) \varphi(t) dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \int_0^d (y_i, y_{i+1}) \varphi(t) dt.$$

$$\text{Then } \int_0^d (y_n, y_m) \varphi(t) dt \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \psi^i(d_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi^i(d_0).$$

Taking limit as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$  and using condition for (3.1.4), it follow that  $\{y_n\}$  is a cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that  $AB(X)$  is complete. So  $\{y_{2n}\}$  converges to a point  $z \in X$ , I.e.,  $z = ABu$  for some  $u \in X$ . Also its subsequence converges to same point  $z$ . i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \{Sx_{2n}\} &\rightarrow z \quad \text{and} \quad \{Tx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z \\ \{PQx_{2n+1}\} &\rightarrow z \quad \text{and} \quad \{ABx_{2n+2}\} \rightarrow z. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $x = u$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^d (Su, Tx_{2n+1}) \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABu, PQx_{2n+1}) \varphi(t) dt \right)$$

Where  $M(ABu, PQx_{2n+1}) = \max \{d(ABu, PQx_{2n+1}), d(Su, ABu), d(Tx_{2n+1}, PQx_{2n+1}),$

$$\left. \frac{d(Su, PQx_{2n+1}) + d(Tx_{2n+1}, ABu)}{2} \right\},$$

Taking limit as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(ABu, z) &= \max \{d(z, z), d(Su, z), d(z, z), \frac{d(Su, z) + d(z, z)}{2}\} \\ &= \max \{0, d(Su, z), 0, \frac{d(Su, z) + 0}{2}\} = \max \{d(Su, z), \frac{d(Su, z)}{2}\}, \\ &\leq d(Su, z). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \int_0^d (Su, z) \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (Su, z) \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

Which implies that  $Su=z$ . So,  $Su=ABu=z$ .

Since  $S(X) \subset PQ(X)$ , there exists a point  $v \in X$  such that  $Su=PQv=z$ .

Put  $x=u$  and  $y=v$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^{d(Su,Tv)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(ABu,PQv)} \varphi(t)dt \right)$$

Where  $M(ABu,PQv)=\max \left\{ d(ABu, PQv), d(Su, ABu), d(Tv, PQv), \frac{d(Su,PQv)+d(Tv,ABu)}{2} \right\}$ ,

Taking limit as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(z, PQv) &= \max \left\{ d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Tv, PQv), \frac{d(z,PQv)+d(Tv,z)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(Tv, z), \frac{0+d(Tv,z)}{2} \right\} = \max \left\{ d(Tv, z), \frac{d(Tv,z)}{2} \right\} = \max \left\{ d(Tv, z), \frac{d(Tv,z)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ d(Tv, z), \frac{d(Tv,z)}{2} \right\} \\ &\leq d(Tv, z). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\int_0^{d(Tv,z)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d(Tv,z)} \varphi(t) dt \right)$ .

Which implies that  $Tv=z$ . So,  $Tv=PQv=z$ .

Since  $\{T, PQ\}$  is  $D^*$ -compatible and  $Tv=PQv=z$ , it follow that

$$d(Tz, z)=d(TTv, Tv)=d(PQ^2v, PQv)=d(PQz, z).$$

Put  $x=u$  and  $y=z$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^{d(Su,Tz)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{M(ABu,PQz)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X .$$

Where  $M(ABu,PQz)=\max \left\{ d(ABu, PQz), d(Su, ABu), d(Tz, PQz), \frac{d(Su,PQz)+d(Tz,ABu)}{2} \right\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(ABu,PQz) &= \max \left\{ d(ABu, PQz), d(z, z), d(Tz, PQz), \frac{d(z,PQz)+d(Tz,ABu)}{2} \right\}, \\ &= \max \left\{ d(z, PQz), d(Tz, PQz), \frac{d(z,PQz)+d(Tz,z)}{2} \right\}, \\ &= \max \left\{ d(z, PQz), d(Tz, PQz), \frac{d(z,PQz)+d(Tz,z)}{2} \right\}, \\ &= d(PQz, z) \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\int_0^{d(Su,Tz)} \varphi(t)dt = \psi \left( \int_0^{M(ABu,PQz)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \leq \psi \left( \int_0^{d(PQz,z)} \varphi(t)dt \right)$ .

Which implies that  $PQz=z$  and  $Tz=z$ .

Next, Since  $Su=ABu=z$ , then From  $D^*$ -compatibility of  $\{S, AB\}$ , we have

$$d(Sz, z) = d(S^2u, Su) = d(PQ^2u, PQu) = d(PQz, z)$$

Put  $x=z$  and  $y=v$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^d (Sz, Tv) \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABz, PQv) \varphi(t) dt \right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Where  $M(ABz, PQv) = \max\{d(ABz, PQv), d(Sz, ABz), d(Tv, PQv), \frac{d(Sz, PQv) + d(Tv, ABz)}{2}\}$ ,

$$M(ABz, PQv) = \max\{d(ABz, z), d(Sz, z), d(z, z), \frac{d(Sz, z) + d(z, ABz)}{2}\},$$

$$= \max\{d(ABz, z), d(Sz, z) + d(ABz, z), \frac{d(Sz, z) + d(z, ABz)}{2}\},$$

$$= d(ABz, z)$$

$$\text{So, } \int_0^d (Sz, Tv) \varphi(t) dt = \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABz, PQv) \varphi(t) dt \right) \leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (ABz, z) \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

Which implies that  $ABz=z$  and  $Sz=z$ .

Hence  $PQz=ABz=Sz=Tz=z$ .

Put  $x=z$  and  $y=Qz$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^d (Sz, TQz) \varphi(t) dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABz, PQQz) \varphi(t) dt \right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Where  $M(ABz, PQQz) = \max\{d(ABz, PQQz), d(Sz, ABz), d(TQz, PQQz), \frac{d(Sz, PQQz) + d(TQz, ABz)}{2}\}$ ,

Since  $PQ=QP, TQ=QT$

$$PQ(Qz) = QP(Qz) = Q(PQz) = Qz$$

$$TQz = QTz = Qz.$$

$$M(ABz, PQQz) = \max\{d(z, Qz), d(z, z), d(Qz, Qz), \frac{d(z, Qz) + d(Qz, z)}{2}\},$$

$$= \max\{d(z, Qz), 0, 0, \frac{d(Sz, z) + d(Qz, z)}{2}\},$$

$$\leq d(Qz, z).$$

$$\text{So, } \int_0^d (Qz, z) \varphi(t) dt = \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABz, PQQz) \varphi(t) dt \right) \leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (Qz, z) \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

Which implies that  $Qz=z$

Also we have  $PQz=z$ , hence  $Pz=z$ .

Therefore  $PQz=Pz=Qz=z$ .

Now Put  $x=Bz$  and  $y=z$  in (3.1.5), we have

$$\int_0^d (SBz,Tz) \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABBz,PQz) \varphi(t)dt \right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X .$$

Where  $M (ABBz, PQz)=\max\{d (ABBz,PQz), d (SBz,ABBz), d (Tz,PQz), \frac{d(SBz,PQz)+d (Tz,ABBz)}{2} \}$ ,

Since  $AB=BA, SB=BS$

$$AB (Bz)=BA (Bz)=B (ABz)=Bz$$

$$SBz=BSz=Bz.$$

$$M (ABBz, PQz)=\max\{d (Bz, z), d (Bz, Bz), d (z, z), \frac{d(Bz,z)+d (z,Bz)}{2} \},$$

$$=\max\{d (Bz, z), 0, 0, \frac{d(Bz,z)+d (Bz,z)}{2} \},$$

$$\leq d (Bz, z).$$

$$\text{So, } \int_0^d (Bz,z) \varphi(t)dt = \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABBz,PQz) \varphi(t)dt \right) \leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (Bz,z) \varphi(t)dt \right).$$

Which implies that  $Bz=z$

Also we have  $ABz=z$ , hence  $Az=z$ .

Therefore  $ABz=Az=Bz=z$ .

Thus we have  $Az=Bz =Sz=Pz=Qz =Tz=z$ .

i.e.,  $z$ , is common fixed point of  $A, B, P, Q, S$  and  $T$ .

### Uniqueness

Let  $w$  be another common fixed point of  $A, B, P, Q, S$  and  $T$ .

Then  $Aw=Bw=Pw=Sw=Tw=Qw=w$ .

Put  $x=z$  and  $y=w$  in (3.1.5) we have

$$\int_0^d (Sz,Tw) \varphi(t)dt \leq \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABz,PQw) \varphi(t)dt \right) \text{ for all } x, y \in X .$$

Where  $M (ABz, PQw)=\max \{d (ABz, PQw), d (Sz, ABz), d (Tw, PQw), \frac{d(Sz,PQw)+d (Tw,ABz)}{2} \}$ ,

$$M (ABz, PQw)=\max \{d (z, w), d (z, z), d (w, w), \frac{d(z,w)+d (w,z)}{2} \}=d (z, w)$$

$$\text{So, } \int_0^d (Sz,Tw) \varphi(t)dt = \psi \left( \int_0^M (ABz,PQw) \varphi(t)dt \right) \leq \psi \left( \int_0^d (z,w) \varphi(t)dt \right).$$

Which implies that  $z=w$ . Therefore,  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $T$ .

In the same manner it can be show that  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $S$  and  $T$  when  $PQ$  is complete.

## References

- [1] Bianchini, R.M.T., 1972, "Su un Problema di S. Reich riguardante la teoria dei punti fissi," *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, 5, pp. 103–108.
- [2] Branciari, A., 2002, "A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type," *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 29, pp. 531–536.
- [3] Jungck, G., 1986, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *Internat. Math. Math. Sci.*, 9, pp. 771–779.
- [4] Jungck, G., and Rhoades, B.E., 1998, "Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity," *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29 (3), pp. 227-238.
- [5] Kannan, R., 1969, "Some results on fixed point-II," *Amer. Math. Monthly.*, 76, pp. 405–408.
- [6] Rhoades, B.E., 2003, "Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 63, pp. 4007–4013.
- [7] Sahu, D.R., and Dewangan, C.L., 1997, "Fixed point theorems in  $d$ -complete topological spaces," *National Seminar on Functional Analysis*, pp. 24–32.
- [8] Sharma, B.K., and Sahu, D.R., 1997, "Hybrid  $D$ -compatibility for solution functional equations," *Indian Acad. Math.*, 18, pp. 101–114.
- [9] Vijayaraju, P., Rhoades, B.E., and Mohanraj, R., 2005, "A fixed point theorem for maps satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type," *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 15, pp. 2359–2364.