

U -Compactness and G_δ - Continuity

P. Jha¹, B.P. Tripathi² and Manisha Shrivastava³

¹Govt . J. Y . Chhattisgarh College , Raipur (C.G.) India

²Govt. Nagarjun Science College , Raipur(C.G.) India

³B. R .S. M . College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology,
Raipur (C.G.) India

³Email: shrivastavamanisha9@gmail.com

Abstract

Velleman proved that a mapping from R to R is continuous if and only if the images of compact sets are compact sets . Arenas and Puertas generalized this characterization of continuity between two topological spaces . G. Nardo and Pasinkov generalized the Arenas and Puertas result to some different classes of spaces, by generalizing the definition of set of mappings characterized by images of sets . In the present paper , we prove results based on G_δ -continuity and U -compact spaces. We prove that the U -compactness of the space is preserved under G_δ - continuous mapping and also prove that G_δ - continuous image of product of two U -compact spaces , is again U -compact.

Keywords : U -compact spaces , G_δ - continuity.

Mathematics Subject Classification Code (2010): 54C05, 54C99 , 54D30, 54D99

Introduction

The problem to characterize the continuity by images of sets was studied first [3] by Velleman that have shown that the set $C(R,R)$ of all real continuous function on R can not be characterized by images of sets . Arenas and Puertas have generalized Velleman's result by concerning $C(R,R)$ and have proved the following:

Theorem (AP) : Let X be a locally connected first countable space and let Y be a regular normal space . Then $C(X,Y) = C_{A,A} \cap C_{B,B}$ where A is the family of all connected sets and B is the family of compact sets. Nardo and Pasinkov generalized Theorem AP to some different classes of spaces like continuity on q -spaces , continuity on sequential spaces and they prove the theorem :

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a G_δ - continuous mapping from a locally connected regular q -space

X to normal space Y . If f maps countable compact sets in countable compact sets and connected sets in connected sets then it is continuous.

G_δ -Continuity :

Definition 1.1 : A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between spaces X and Y is said to be G_δ -continuous at a

point $x \in X$, if for every neighborhood V of fx , there exists a G_δ -set G in X such that $x \in G$ and $fG \subset V$.

A mapping is called G_δ -continuous if it is G_δ -continuous at any point of the domain. The set of all G_δ -continuous mappings from a space X to a space Y will be denoted by $C_\delta(X, Y)$. Obviously $C(X, Y) \subset C_\delta(X, Y)$ (where $C(X, Y)$ consists of all continuous mappings from X to Y).

There has been much work on different types of compactness and continuity in general topology. But up till now no one has worked on U -compactness with G_δ -continuity. In the present paper we prove various results on U -compactness and G_δ -continuity.

U -compactness : [1]

Definition 1.2 : Let $U = \{A\}$ be any collection of open coverings of a topological space X , containing all finite open coverings as subsystem. The topological space X is U -compact, if each open cover of X has a refinement $A \subset U$.

In the section 2, we prove that, 'a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G_δ -continuous if and only if the image of a U -compact space X is, U -compact'.

In the section 3, we prove that the product of two U -compact spaces is again U -compact. We also prove that the projection mappings $\pi_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ or $\pi_2: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is G_δ -continuous and also prove that the G_δ -continuous image of product of two U -compact spaces is again U -compact.

New Characterization of U -compactness

Theorem 2.1 : Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping from X to Y then prove that the mapping f is G_δ -continuous if and only if the image of a U -compact space X is again U -compact.

Proof : Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping between two spaces X and Y and suppose the space X is U -compact.

Claim : space Y is U -compact.

Let $U^* = \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be the collection of open coverings of Y , containing all the finite open coverings of Y as subsystem, where each $V_\alpha \in U^*$ is the open neighborhood of

some point $f(x_\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $x_\alpha \in X$. Those open coverings of space Y , which are not in U^* , like V_β ,

for all $\beta \in \Lambda_1$ are also the neighborhood of some point $f(x_\beta)$, for all $\beta \in \Lambda_1$, $x_\beta \in X$.

Let $U = \{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}$ be the collection of coverings of space X where each $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda$ be the open set. Each open covering $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$, for all α , is also the neighborhood of some point x_α in X . Since the mapping f is G_δ -continuous, so corresponding to neighborhood V_α of $f(x_\alpha)$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, in Y we can have G_δ -set G_α in X and corresponding to the neighborhood V_β of $f(x_\beta)$, for all $\beta \in \Lambda_1$, we can have G_δ -set G_β in X . Since X is U -compact space, so each covering of X , should have a refinement in U . Therefore each open covering $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$, for all α , can have a refinement G_α , for all α in U , as well as those open coverings $f^{-1}(V_\beta)$, for all β , not belongs to U , also have refinement G_β , for all β in.

Again by using the definition of G_δ -continuity, we can have $x_\alpha \in G_\alpha$, for all α , such that $f(G_\alpha) \subset V_\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$, in U^* . Here $f(G_\alpha)$ and $f(G_\beta)$ have been taken as refinements of open coverings of Y as subsystem. Let $\{V_\beta\}$, for all $\beta \in \Lambda_1$, not belongs to U^* are also the open coverings of Y . Now open coverings V_α , for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ be the neighborhood of some point $f(x_\alpha)$, and V_β are also the neighborhood of some point $f(x_\beta)$, for all $\beta \in \Lambda_1$. Now let $U = \{f^{-1}(V_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in p}$ (arbitrary set p) be the collection of the open coverings of X , containing all the finite coverings as subsystem. Let $f^{-1}(V_\beta)$, for all $\beta \in p_1$, are also the open coverings of X not belongs to U .

Here we also assume that $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$, for all α , are the neighborhood of the points $x_\alpha \in X$ and $f^{-1}(V_\beta)$, for all $\beta \in p_1$, are the neighborhood of the points x_β , in X . So every x_α , for all α and x_β , for all β are the interior points of $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ and $f^{-1}(V_\beta)$ respectively. So we can assume open sets G_α , for every α , G_β , for every β (as G_δ -sets in X) such that $x_\alpha \in G_\alpha \subset f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$, for all α and $x_\beta \in G_\beta \subset f^{-1}(V_\beta)$, for all β (Since the collection of open sets all G_α 's and all G_β 's have been taken as refinements of open coverings $f^{-1}(V_\alpha)$ and $f^{-1}(V_\beta)$ respectively of X , in U) in U . This implies that $f(x_\alpha) \in f(G_\alpha) \subset V_\alpha$, for every α and $f(x_\beta) \in f(G_\beta) \subset V_\beta$, for every β (Here $f(G_\alpha)$ and $f(G_\beta)$ are the refinements of the open coverings V_α and V_β respectively of X) in U^* , as space Y is U -compact.

It shows that mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G_δ -continuous.

Productivity of U -Compactness

Theorem 3.1 : The product of two U -compact spaces is again U -compact.

Proof : Step 1: Suppose we have given two spaces X and Y (both X and Y are compact), with Y is U_2 -compact.

Let x_0 be any point of X , and N is any open set in $X \times Y$, containing the slice $x_0 \times Y$ of $X \times Y$. For the product of two compact spaces, if Y is compact, then we know that by the Tube

Lemma, N contains some tube $w \times Y$ about $x_0 \times Y$, w is the neighborhood of x_0 in X . Since Y is the U_2 -compact, let $U_2 = \{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be the collection of open sets of Y , containing all the finite coverings of Y , as subsystem. Since Y is U_2 -compact, so each open covering of Y will have a refinement B_α in U_2 . Let $U^* \times U_2 = \{W \times A_\alpha\}$ be the collection of open coverings of $x_0 \times Y$. Since $x_0 \times Y$ is compact, so is $U^* \times U_2$ compact, therefore each open covering of $x_0 \times Y$ will have a refinement $W_{x_0} \times B_\alpha$ in $U^* \times U_2$ (where $W_{x_0} \subset W$ is again a neighborhood of x_0 .)

Step 2 :- Let us assume that the space X and Y are U_1 -compact and U_2 -compact respectively.

Let $U_1 \times U_2 = \{a_\alpha \times b_\alpha\}$ be the set of open covering all the finite coverings of $X \times Y$, containing all the finite coverings of $X \times Y$ as subsystem. Since $x_0 \times Y$ is $U^* \times U_2$ -compact so

it can be covered by many elements of $U_1 \times U_2$ and the union $a_{\alpha_1} \times b_{\alpha_1} \cup a_{\alpha_2} \times b_{\alpha_2} \cup a_{\alpha_3} \times b_{\alpha_3} \cup$

$U_{\alpha_m} \times b_{\alpha_m} = N$, which is an open set containing $x_0 \times Y$, so by the Tube Lemma the open set N contains a tube $W \times Y$ about $x_0 \times Y$ and $W \times Y$ also covered by many elements of $U_1 \times U_2$ (W is an open set in X). Since $W \times Y$ is $U_1 \times U_2$ -compact, so each open covering of $W \times Y$ will have a refinement in $U_1 \times U_2$.

Thus for each x in X , we can choose a neighborhood W_x of x , such that the tube $W_x \times Y$ can be covered by finitely many elements of $U_1 \times U_2$. The collection of all such neighborhoods W_x is an open covering of X . Since X is U_1 -compact, so each open covering of X will have a refinement in $W_x \subset W_x$ for every x , in U_1 and the union of the tubes $W_1 \times Y, W_2 \times Y, W_3 \times Y, \dots, W_m \times Y$, is the whole $X \times Y$. Therefore each open covering of $X \times Y$ will have a refinement in $U_1 \times U_2 = U$. Therefore $X \times Y$ is U -compact.

Lemma: Prove that the projection mapping $\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ or $\pi_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is $G\delta$ -continuous.

Proof : Let V_α be a neighborhood of any point $\pi_1(x_0, y_0)$. Let $G_1 \times Y$ be a $G\delta$ -set (Since $G_1 \times Y$ is an open set in $X \times Y$) in $X \times Y$, containing (x_0, y_0) i.e. $(x_0, y_0) \in G_1 \times Y$.

So $\pi_1(x_0, y_0) \in \pi_1(G_1 \times Y)$. Since V_α be the neighborhood of the point $\pi_1(x_0, y_0)$.

Therefore $\pi_1(x_0, y_0) \in \pi_1(G_1 \times Y) \subset V_\alpha$, for all (x_0, y_0) . This shows that the mapping $\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is $G\delta$ -continuous.

Theorem 3.2 : If the product of two U -compact spaces X and Y is U -compact and the mapping $\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is $G\delta$ -continuous, then the π_1 image of product of two U -compact spaces is again U -compact.

Proof: Let V_α be the neighborhood of any point $\pi_1(x_0, y_0)$ in X . Let $U_1 = \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be the collection of open coverings of X , containing all the finite open coverings as subsystem.

Let $U_1 \times U_2 = \{V_\alpha \times Y\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be the set of open coverings of $X \times Y$, containing all the finite open coverings as subsystem. Let $G_1 \times G_2$ be any open set ($G\delta$ -set, being an open set) containing the point (x_0, y_0) in $V_\alpha \times Y$, where G_1 is $G\delta$ -set in V_α i.e. in X and G_2 is the $G\delta$ -set in Y .

Since $X \times Y$ is U -compact, so every open cover $V_\alpha \times Y$ of $X \times Y$, containing refinement suppose

$G_1 \times G_2$ in $U_1 \times U_2$. Since $(x_0, y_0) \in G_1 \times G_2$. This implies that $\pi_1(x_0, y_0) \in \pi_1(G_1 \times G_2)$.

Since the mapping is $G\delta$ -continuous, so $\pi_1(G_1 \times G_2) \subset V_\alpha$, for all α . If $\pi_1(G_1 \times G_2)$ can be taken as refinements of open covering V_α , for all α , of X . Thus we can say that every open cover V_α of X having a refinement in U_1 .

Thus the space X is $U_1 = U$ -compact.

References

- [1] Velleman, D. J., 1997, "Characterizing Continuity", American Math. Monthly, 104 (4), 318-322.
- [2] Nardo, G. and Pasynkov, B. A., "Characterizing Continuity in Topological Spaces", monograph 1-6. Giorgio Nardo Department di matematica di Messina, Contrada Papardo Salita sperone 31 98166 sant' Agata Massina (ITALY) E-mail: nardo@dipmat.unime.it Boris A. Pasinkov Chair of General Topology and Geometry, Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899 (RUSSIA) E-mail: pasinkov@mech.math.msu.su.6
- [3] Munkers, J. R., 1992, "Topology A First Course", Prentice-Hall of India, Private Limited, .
- [4] Arya, S.P., and Jha, P., 1993, "U-Fuzzy Compactness and Fuzzy Supercompactness", The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 1(2), Los Angeles.

