

On Quasi Weak Commutative Near – Rings

Dr. G. Gopalakrishnamoorthy¹, Dr. M. Kamaraj²
and S. Geetha³

¹Advisor, PSNL College of Education, Sattur – 626 203.
Email: ggrmoorthy@gmail.com

²Associate Professor, Government Arts and Science College,
Sivakasi – 626 124

³Department of Mathematics, Pannai College of Engg&Tech
Keelakandani, Sivaganga Dist-630 561.
Email: geethae836@gmail.com.

Abstract:

A right near - ring N is called weak Commutative, (Definition 9.4 Pilz [7]) if $xyz = zxy$ for every $x,y,z \in N$. A right near - ring N is called pseudo commutative (Definition 2.1, S.Uma and others [8]) if $xyz = zyx$ for all $x,y,z \in N$. It is quite natural to investigate the properties of N if $xyz = yxz$ for every $x,y,z \in N$. We call such a near - ring as quasi weak commutative. We obtain some interesting results on Quasi weak commutative near - ring.

1.Introduction:

Throughout this paper, N denotes a right near – ring $(N, +, \cdot)$ with atleast two elements. For any non-empty subset A of N , we denote $A - \{0\} = A^*$. The following definitions and results are well known.

Definition: 1.1

An element $a \in N$ is said to be

1. Idempotent if $a^2 = a$.
2. Nilpotent, if there exists a positive integer k such that $a^k = 0$.

Result : 1.2 (Theorem 1.62 Pilz [7])

Each near – ring N is isomorphic to a subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible near – rings.

Definition : 1.3

A near – ring N is said to be zero symmetric if $ab = 0$ implies $ba = 0$, where $a, b \in N$.

Result : 1.4

If N is zero symmetric, then

1. Every left ideal A of N is an N – subgroup of N .
2. every ideal I of N satisfies the condition $NIN \subseteq I$. (i.e) every ideal is an N – subgroup.
3. $N^* I N^* \subseteq I^*$.

Result :1.5

Let N be a near – ring. Then the following are true

1. If A is an ideal of N and B is any subset of N , then $(A : B) = \{ n \in N \text{ such that } nB \subseteq A \}$ is always a left ideal.
2. If A is an ideal of N and B is an N - subgroup, then $(A : B)$ is an ideal. In particular if A and B are ideals of a zero - symmetric near - ring, then $(A : B)$ is an ideal.

Result : 1.6

1. Let N be a regular near - ring, $a \in N$ and $a = axa$, then ax, xa are idempotents and so the set of idempotent elements of N is non – empty.
2. $axN = aN$ and $Nxa = Na$.
3. N is S and S' near - rings.

Result : 1.7 (Lemma 4 Dheena [1])

Let N be a zero - symmetric reduced near - ring. For any $a, b \in N$ and for any idempotent element $e \in N$, $abe = aeb$.

Result : 1.8 (Gratzer [4] and Fain [3])

A near - ring N is sub - directly irreducible if and only if the intersection of all non - zero ideals of N is not zero.

Result : 1.9 (Gratzer [4])

Each simple near – ring is sub directly irreducible.

Result : 1.10 (Pilz [7])

A non - zero symmetric near – ring N has I F P if and only if $(O : S)$ is an ideal for any subset S of N .

Result : 1.11 (Oswald [6])

An N - subgroup A of N is essential if $A \cap B = \{0\}$, where B is any N subgroup of N , implies $B = \{0\}$.

Definition : 1.12

A near - ring N is said to be reduced if N has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

Definition : 1.13

A near - ring N is said to be an integral near – ring, if N has no non – zero divisors.

Lemma: 1.14

Let N be a near-ring. If for all $a \in N$, $a^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0$, then N has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

Definition : 1.15

Let N be a near-ring. N is said to satisfy intersection of factors property (IFP) if $ab = 0 \Rightarrow anb = 0$ for all $n \in N$, where $a, b \in N$.

Definition : 1.16

1. An ideal I of N is called a prime ideal if for all ideals A, B of N , AB is subset of $I \Rightarrow A$ is subset of I or B is subset of I .
2. I is called a semi-prime ideal if for all ideals A of N , A^2 is subset of I implies A is subset of I .
3. I is called a completely semi – prime ideal, if for any $x \in N$, $x^2 \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$.
4. I is called a completely prime ideal, if for any $x, y \in N$, $xy \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$ or $y \in I$.
5. N is said to have strong IFP, if for all ideals I of N , $ab \in I$ implies $anb \in I$ for all $n \in N$.

Result: 1.17 (Proposition 2.4 [8])

Let N be a Pseudo commutative near – ring. Then every idempotent element is central.

2. Definition and Basic Properties

In this section we introduce the concept of quasi weak commutative near – rings.

Definition :2.1

A near – ring N is said to be quasi weak commutative near – ring if $xyz = yxz$ for all $x, y, z \in N$.

Every commutative near – ring is quasi weak commutative.

Proposition:2.2

Every quasi weak commutative near – ring is zero symmetric.

Proof:

Let N be a quasi weak commutative near – ring.

For every $a \in N$,

$$a.0 = a(00) = (0a)0 = 00 = 0.$$

This proves N is zero symmetric.

Proposition:2.3

Let N be both weak commutative and quasi weak commutative right near – ring. Then N is pseudo commutative.

Proof:

For all $x, y, z \in N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}xyz &= yxz \text{ (} N \text{ is quasi weak commutative)} \\ &= yzx \text{ (} N \text{ is weak commutative)} \\ &= zyx \text{ (} N \text{ is quasi weak commutative)}\end{aligned}$$

This proves N is pseudo commutative.

Proposition:2.4

Homomorphic image of a quasi weak commutative near – ring is also right pseudo commutative near – ring.

Proof:

Let N be a quasi weak commutative near – ring and $f: N \rightarrow M$ be an Endomorphism of near – rings.

For all $x, y, z \in N$.

$$\begin{aligned}f(x)f(y)f(z) &= f(xyz) \\ &= f(yxz) \\ &= f(y)f(x)f(z)\end{aligned}$$

This proves M is quasi weak commutative.

Corollary:2.5

Let N be a quasi weak commutative near – ring. If I is any ideal of N , then N/I is also quasi commutative.

Theorem:2.6

Every quasi weak commutative near – ring N is isomorphic to a sub-direct product of sub-directly irreducible quasi weak commutative near – rings.

Proof:

By Result 1.2, N is isomorphic to a sub-direct product of sub-directly irreducible near – rings N_k , and each N_k is a homomorphic image of N , under the projection map $\pi_k : N \rightarrow N_k$. The desired result follows from Proposition 2.7.

Proposition:2.7

Any weak commutative near ring with left identity is a quasi weak commutative near – ring.

Proof:

Let $e \in N$ be a left identity.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For any } a, b, c \in N \\ abc &= e(abc) \\ &= (eab)c \\ &= (eba)c \\ &= bac \end{aligned}$$

So N is quasi weak commutative near – ring.

Proposition:2.8

Any quasi weak commutative near – ring with right identity is weak commutative.

Proof:

Let $a, b, c \in N$ and $e \in N$ be a right identity.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then} \\ (abc) &= (abc)e \\ &= a(bce) \\ &= a(cbe) && \text{(quasi weak commutative)} \\ &= (acb)e \\ &= acb \end{aligned}$$

This proves N is weak commutative.

Theorem:2.9

Let N be a regular quasi weak commutative near – ring. Then

1. $A = \sqrt{A}$, for every N sub-group A of N
2. N is reduced
3. N has (*IFP)

Proof:

Since N is regular, for every $a \in N$, there exists $b \in N$ such that

$$a = aba \tag{1}$$

Now $a = aba$ (quasi weak commutative)

$$a = ba^2 \tag{2}$$

1. Let A be a N -subgroup of N and $a \in \sqrt{A}$.
Then $a^k \in A$ for some positive integer k .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now from (2)} \\ a &= ba^2 = b(a)a \\ &= b(ba^2)a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= b^2a^3 \\
&= b^2a(a^2) \\
&= b^2(ba^2)a^2 \\
&= b^3a^4 \\
&\text{-----} \\
&\text{-----} \\
&= b^{(k-1)}a^k \in NA \text{ which is a subset of } A.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore \sqrt{A} is a subset of A .

Obviously A is a subset of \sqrt{A} .

This completes the proof of (i).

1. If $a^2 = 0$, then by (2) $a = ba^2 = b0 = 0$.

This completes the proof of (ii).

2. Let $ab = 0$.

Then $(ba)^2 = (ba)(ba) = b(ab)a = b(0)a = b \cdot 0 = 0$ (Proposition 2.2)

So, by (ii) $ba = 0$

Now for any $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(anb)^2 &= (anb)(anb) \\
&= an(ba)nb \\
&= (an)0(nb) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Again by (ii) $anb = 0$

This proves (iii).

Theorem:2.10

Let N be a regular quasi weak commutative near – ring. Then every N subgroup is an ideal

1. $N = Na = Na^2 = aN = aNa$
2. for all $a \in N$

Proof:

Let $a \in N$.

Since N is regular $a = aba$ for some $b \in N$.

By Result 1.6 ba is an idempotent element. Let $ba = e$

Then $Ne = Nba = Na$ (by Result 1.6)

(1)

Let $S = \{n-ne / n \in N\}$. We Claim that $(O:S) = Ne$.

Now $(n-ne)e = ne-ne^2 = ne-ne = 0$ for all $n \in N$.

So, $(n-ne)Ne = 0$ (by (ii) of Theorem 2.9).

This implies $Ne \subseteq (O:S)$.

(2)

Now let $y \in (O:S)$. Then $sy = 0$ for all $s \in S$.

Since N is regular, $y = yxy$ for some $x \in N$.

Since $yx - (yx)e \in S$, $(yx - (yx)e)y = 0$ (by (1))

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ie)} \quad & yxy - yxey = 0 \\
 & y - y(xey) = 0 \\
 & y - y(xye) = 0 \\
 & y - yxye = 0 \\
 & y - ye = 0 \\
 \text{(ie)} \quad & y = ye \in Ne.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{It follows that } (O:S) \subseteq Ne \tag{3}$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we get $(O:S) = Ne = Na$.
 By Result 1.10, Na is an ideal of N .

Now if M is any subgroup of N , then $M = \sum_{a \in M} Na$

Thus M becomes an ideal of N .

(2) Since N is regular, for every $a \in N$, there exists $b \in N$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= aba \\
 &= (ba)a \quad (\text{R is quasi weak commutative}) \\
 a &= ba^2 \in Na^2 \\
 \text{So, } N &\subseteq Na^2 \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 Na \subseteq N \subseteq Na^2 \subseteq (Na)a \subseteq Na \subseteq N \\
 \text{implies } Na = Na^2 = N \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall prove $Na^2 = aNa$

Let $x \in Na^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } x &= na^2 \quad \text{for some } n \in N \\
 &= naa \\
 &= ana \quad (\text{Since R is quasi weak commutative}) \\
 \text{So, } x &\in aNa. \\
 \text{(ie) } Na^2 &\subseteq aNa \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $y \in aNa$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } y &= ana \quad \text{for some } n \in N \\
 &= (na)a \quad (\text{Since R is quasi weak commutative}) \\
 &= na^2 \in Na^2 \\
 \text{(ie) } aNa &\subseteq Na^2 \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$aNa = Na^2 \tag{8}$$

We claim that $aN = aNa$.

Since Na is an ideal (by (i)), for every $a \in N$, $(Na)N \subseteq Na$ (9)

Also for every $n \in N$,

$$an = (aba)n = a(ban) \in a(NaN) \subseteq aNa \quad (\text{using (9)})$$

$$\text{Thus } aN \subseteq aNa \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Obviously } aNa \subseteq aN \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Hence } aN = aNa \quad (12)$$

Thus from (5), (8) and (12) we get

$$N = Na = Na^2 = aN = aNa \text{ for all } a \in N.$$

Definition:2.11

A near – ring N is said to have property P_4 , if $ab \in I \Rightarrow ba \in I$, where I is any ideal of N

Theorem:2.12

Let N be a regular quasi weak commutative near – ring. Then

1. Every ideal of N is completely semi – prime
2. N has property P_4

Proof:

Let I be an ideal of N .

1. Let $a^2 \in I$.

Since N is regular,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= aba && \text{for some } b \in N \\ &= baa && (\text{since } N \text{ is quasi weak commutative}) \\ &= ba^2 \in NI \subset I \\ &\Rightarrow a^2 \in I \Rightarrow a \in I \end{aligned}$$

So every ideal of N is completely prime.

2. Let $ab \in I$.

$$\text{Then } (ba)^2 = (ba)(ba) = b(ab)a \in NIN \subseteq I \quad (\text{by result 1.4})$$

Then by (i) $ba \in I$.

Thus $ab \in I \Rightarrow ba \in I$.

Thus N has property P_4 .

Theorem:2.13

Let N be a quasi weak commutative near – ring. For every ideal I of N , $(I:S)$ is an ideal of N where S is any subset of N .

Proof:

Let I be an ideal of N and S be any subset of N.

By Result 1.5 (ii), $(I:S) = \{ n \in N / nS \subseteq I \}$ is a left ideal of N

Let $s \in S$, and $a \in (I:S)$, then $as \in I$.

Since N has property P_4 , $sa \in I$.

Then for any $n \in N$, $(sa)n \in I$

(ie) $s(na) \in I$

This implies $(an)s \in I$ (N has property P_4)

(ie) $an \in (I:S)$ for any $n \in N$ and hence $(I:S)$ is a right ideal.

Consequently $(I:S)$ is an ideal.

This completes the proof.

Proposition:2.14

Let N be a quasi weak commutative near – ring. For any ideal I of N, and $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in N$, If $x_1 .x_2 .x_3 \dots .x_n \in I$, then $\langle x_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \rangle \langle x_3 \rangle \dots \langle x_n \rangle \subseteq I$.

Proof:

Let $x_1 .x_2 .x_3 \dots .x_n \in I$.

$\Rightarrow x_1 \in (I : x_2 .x_3 \dots .x_n)$

$\Rightarrow \langle x_1 \rangle \subseteq (I : x_2 .x_3 \dots .x_n)$

$\Rightarrow \langle x_1 \rangle x_2 .x_3 \dots .x_n \subseteq I$

(By proposition2.12)

$\Rightarrow x_2 \in (I : x_3 \dots .x_n \langle x_1 \rangle)$

$\Rightarrow \langle x_2 \rangle \subseteq (I : x_3 \dots .x_n \langle x_1 \rangle)$

$\Rightarrow \langle x_2 \rangle x_3 x_4 \dots .x_n \langle x_1 \rangle \subseteq I$

$\Rightarrow x_3 x_4 \dots .x_n \langle x_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \rangle \subseteq I$

Continuing like this we get $\langle x_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \rangle \dots \langle x_n \rangle \subseteq I$

Proposition:2.15

Let N be a quasi weak commutative near – ring. Then

1. N has strong IFP
2. N is semi – prime near - ring

Proof:

1. Let I be an ideal of N. Since N is zero symmetric, $NI \subseteq I$.

By Theorem 2.10 (ii), $aN = Na^2$.

Hence $an = ma^2$ for some $m, n \in N$.

Hence, if $ab \in I$, then for every $n \in N$, $anb = ma^2b$

$= (ma)ab \in NI \subseteq I$

(ie) $ab \in I \Rightarrow anb \in I$ for all $n \in N$.

This proves N has strong IFP.

2. Let M be an N subgroup of N. Then M is an ideal by Theorem 2.10 (i)

Let I be any ideal of N such that $I^2 \subseteq M$.

Then by Result 1.4 $NI \subseteq I$.

If $a \in I$, then $a = aba$ for some $b \in N$ (N is regular)

$\Rightarrow a = aba \in I(NI) \subseteq I^2 \subseteq M$.

So, any N - subgroup M of N is a semi – prime ideal. In particular $\{0\}$ is a semi – prime ideal and hence N is a semi – prime near – ring

References

- [1] Dheena P. On strongly regular near – rings, Journal of the Indian Math.Soc., 49(1985), 201 – 208.
- [2] Dheena P.A note on a paper of Lee, Journal of Indian Math.Soc., 53(1988), 227 – 229.
- [3] Fain, Some Structure theorems for near – rings, Doctoral dissertation, University of Oklanama,1968.
- [4] Gratzner.George, Universal Algebra, Van Nostrand, 1968.
- [5] Henry E. Heartherly, Regular Near – rings, Journal of Indian Maths.Soc,38(1974),345-354.
- [6] Oswald A.Near – rings in which every N – subgroup is principal, Proc . London Math.Soc, 3 (1974), No 28, 67 – 88.
- [7] Pliz . Giinter, Near – rings, North Holland, Aneterdam, 1983.
- [8] Uma.S, Balakrishnan.R and Tamizh chelvam.T, Pseudo Commutative near – rings, Scientia Magna, 6(2010) No 2, 75 – 85.