

Generalisation on the Zeros of a Family of Complex Polynomials

Ajeet singh¹, Neha¹ and S.K.Sahu²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Lingayas Univesity, Faridabad-121002,
Delhi NCR, India.*

Email: nehavaid2311@gmail.com

²*Department of Mathematics, Arbaminch University, Arbaminch, Ethopia.*

Abstract

In this paper we prove some results on the location of zeros of a certain class of polynomials. These results generalize some known results in the theory of the distribution of zeros of polynomials. Hence our result will considerably improve the bounds by relaxing and weakening the hypothesis in some cases. Here we obtain certain generalizations and refinements of well known Enestrom – Kakeya Theorem for a polynomial under much less restrictions on its coefficients.

Keywords: Polynomials, Zeros, Enestrom-Kakeya theorem, Analytic functions

INTRODUCTION

Many results on the location of zeros of polynomials are available in the literature. Among them the Enestrom-Kakeya theorem [4] given below is well known in the theory of zero distribution of polynomials.

Theorem (i). For an n th-order polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$, assume

$$a_n \geq a_{n-1} \geq a_{n-2} \geq \dots \geq a_1 \geq a_0 > 0 \quad 1$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in the disk $|z| \leq 1$.

In the literature [1-18], diverse attempts have been made for generalizing the Enestrom-Kakeya theorem to polynomials and analytic functions.

Recently, Choo[5] also proved the following theorems:

Theorem (ii). Consider an n th-order complex polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$, with $\operatorname{Re}(a_i) = \alpha_i$ and $\operatorname{Im}(a_i) = \beta_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, and assume that for some k and r , and for λ_1, λ_2 and $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 t^k \alpha_n &\leq t^{k-1} \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t^{k+1} \alpha_{k+1} \leq t^k \alpha_k \geq \dots \geq t \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0, \\ \lambda_2 t^r \beta_n &\leq t^{r-1} \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t^{r+1} \beta_{r+1} \leq t^r \beta_r \geq \dots \geq t \beta_1 \geq \beta_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_1 \leq |z| \leq R_2$ where

$$R_1 = \frac{t|a_0|}{M_1} \text{ and } R_2 = \frac{M_2}{t^{n-1}|a_n|}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$M_1 = t^n |a_n| + t^n |(\lambda_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + t^n |(\lambda_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(t^k \alpha_k + t^r \beta_r) - t^n (\lambda_1 \alpha_n + \lambda_2 \beta_n) - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0), \quad (4(i))$$

and

$$M_2 = t^n |(\lambda_1 - 1)\alpha_n| + t^n |(\lambda_2 - 1)\beta_n| + 2(t^k \alpha_k + t^r \beta_r) - t^n (\lambda_1 \alpha_n + \lambda_2 \beta_n) - (\alpha_0 + \beta_0) + |a_0|. \quad (4(ii))$$

Theorem (iii). Consider an n th-order complex polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$, with $\operatorname{Re}(a_i) = \alpha_i$ and $\operatorname{Im}(a_i) = \beta_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, and assume that for some k , λ and for some $t > 0$,

$$\lambda t^n \alpha_n \leq t^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t^{k+1} \alpha_{k+1} \leq t^k \alpha_k \geq \dots \geq t \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_0, \quad (5)$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_1 \leq |z| \leq R_2$ where

$$R_1 = \frac{t|a_0|}{M_1} \text{ and } R_2 = \frac{M_2}{t^{n-1}|a_n|}, \quad (6)$$

with

$$M_1 = t^n |a_n| + t^n |(\lambda - 1)\alpha_n| + 2t^k \alpha_k - t^n \lambda \alpha_n - \alpha_0 + t^n |\beta_n| + |\beta_0| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t^j |\beta_j|, \quad (6(i))$$

and

$$M_2 = t^n |(\lambda - 1)\alpha_n| + 2t^k \alpha_k - t^n \lambda \alpha_n - \alpha_0 + |a_0| + t^n |\beta_n| + |\beta_0| + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t^j |\beta_j|. \quad (6(ii))$$

Now we prove the following theorem:-

Theorem 1. Consider an n th-order complex polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$, with $\text{Re}(a_i) = \alpha_i$ and $\text{Im}(a_i) = \beta_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, and assume that for some p and q , and for $\delta, \eta > 0$ and $0 \leq \tau, \sigma \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta t^n \alpha_n &\leq t^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t^{p+1} \alpha_{p+1} \leq t^p \alpha_p \geq \dots \geq t \alpha_1 \geq \tau \alpha_0, \\ \eta t^n \beta_n &\leq t^{n-1} \beta_{n-1} \leq \dots \leq t^{q+1} \beta_{q+1} \leq t^q \beta_q \geq \dots \geq t \beta_1 \geq \sigma \beta_0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Then $P(z)$ has all its zeros in $R_1 \leq |z| \leq R_2$ where

$$R_1 = \frac{t|a_0|}{M_1} \text{ and } R_2 = \frac{M_2}{t^{n-1}|a_n|}, \tag{8}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= t^n |a_n| + t^n |(\delta - 1)\alpha_n| + t^n |(\eta - 1)\beta_n| + 2(t^p \alpha_p + t^q \beta_q) - t^n (\delta \alpha_n + \eta \beta_n) - \tau \alpha_0 \\ &+ (1 - \tau)\alpha_0 - \sigma \beta_0 + (1 - \sigma)\beta_0 \end{aligned} \tag{8(i)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= t^n |(\delta - 1)\alpha_n| + t^n |(\eta - 1)\beta_n| + 2(t^p \alpha_p + t^q \beta_q) - t^n (\delta \alpha_n + \eta \beta_n) - \\ &(\tau \alpha_0 + \sigma \beta_0) + |1 - \tau| \alpha_0 + |1 - \sigma| \beta_0 + |a_0|. \end{aligned} \tag{8(ii)}$$

Proof: Firstly we consider the case where $t = 1$. For the outer bound, consider a polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} G(z) &= (1-z)P(z) \\ &= -\alpha_n z^{n+1} + \{(\alpha_n - \delta \alpha_n) + (\delta \alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})\} z^n + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-2}) z^{n-1} + \dots + (\alpha_{p+1} - \alpha_p) z^{p+1} + (\alpha_p - \alpha_{p-1}) z^p + \\ &(\alpha_{p-1} - \alpha_{p-2}) z^{p-1} + \dots + \{(\alpha_1 - \tau \alpha_0) + (\tau \alpha_0 - \alpha_0)\} z + \alpha_0 + i[-\beta_n z^{n+1} + \{(\beta_n - \eta \beta_n) + (\eta \beta_n - \beta_{n-1})\} z^n + \\ &(\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n-2}) z^{n-1} + \dots + (\beta_{q+1} - \beta_q) z^{q+1} + (\beta_q - \beta_{q-1}) z^q + (\beta_{q-1} - \beta_{q-2}) z^{q-1} \\ &+ \dots + \{(\beta_1 - \sigma \beta_0) \\ &(\sigma \beta_0 - \beta_0)\} z + \beta_0] \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Now if $|z| > 1$, $\frac{1}{|z|^{n-j}} < 1$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$,

$$|G(z)| \geq |z|^n \{ |a_n| |z| M_{12} \} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Where } M_{12} &= |(\delta - 1)\alpha_n| + |(\eta - 1)\beta_n| - (\delta \alpha_n + \eta \beta_n) + 2(\alpha_p + \beta_q) - (\tau \alpha_0 + \sigma \beta_0) + (1 - \\ &\tau)\alpha_0 + \\ &(1 - \sigma)\beta_0 + |a_0| \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then $|G(z)| \geq 0$ if $|z| > \frac{M_{12}}{|a_n|} = R_{12}$ and all the zeros of $P(z)$ with modulus greater

than one lie in the disk $|z| \leq R_{12}$. It can be shown that $R_{12} \geq 1$. Consequently the zeros of $P(z)$ with modulus less than or equal to one are already contained in the disk $|z| \leq R_{12}$.

For the inner bounds, again consider $G(z) = H(z) + a_0$ (12)

If $|z| < 1$ then

Therefore $|H(z)| \leq M_{11}$ (13)

Where

$$M_{11} = |a_n| + |(\delta-1)\alpha_n| + |(\eta-1)\beta_n| - (\delta\alpha_n + \eta\beta_n) + 2(\alpha_p + \beta_q) - (\tau\alpha_0 + \sigma\beta_0) + (1 - \tau)\alpha_0 + (1 - \sigma)\beta_0$$
 (14)

Since $H(0) = 0$, it follows that Schwarz lemma that

$|H(z)| \leq M_{11}|z|$ for $|z| < 1$

Then $|z| < 1$, $G(z) \geq |a_0| - |H(z)| \geq |a_0| - M_{11}|z| > 0$ (15)

If $|z| < \frac{|a_0|}{M_{11}} = R_{11}$ then it can be shown that $R_{11} \leq 1$. Hence if $t = 1$ then all the zeros of $P(z)$ lie in the disk $R_{11} \leq |z| \leq R_{12}$. (16)

It is now easy to find the result of the above theorem follows from the result applicable to $P(tz)$. Hence the proof of the above theorem is complete.

Corollary:- If in the above theorem we substitute for each of the above parameters τ and σ equal to unity then the above results coincides with results obtained by Choo[5].

Conclusion:-

Here in our theorem we showed the refinement over Choo[5].

References:

- [1] A. Aziz and B.A. Zargar, Some extensions of Enestrom –Kakeya theorem, Glasnik mathematicki 31(1996), 239-244.
- [2] A. Aziz and Q.G. Mohammad, On zeros of certain class of polynomials & related analytic function. J. Math Anal. Appl. 75(1980), 495-502.
- [3] A. Aziz, W. M. Shah, On the zeros of polynomials and related analytic functions, Glasnik Mat.33 (1998), 173-184.
- [4] A. Aziz, W. M. Shah, On the location of zeros of polynomials and related analytic functions, Nonlinear Studies 6(1999), 91-101.
- [5] Y. Choo. Some Results on the zeros of polynomials and related analytic functions, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, 5 (2011), 1741-1760.
- [6] K. K. Dewan and N. K. Govil, On the Enestrom –Kakeya theorem, J. Approx. Theory 42(1984), 239-246.
- [7] K. K. Dewan and M. Bidkam, On the Enestrom –Kakeya theorem, J. Math. Appl. 180(1993), 29-36.
- [8] N. K. Govil and Q. I. Rehman, On the Enestrom –Kakeya theorem, Tahoku

- Math J.20 (1986), 126-136.
- [9] N. K. Govil and G. N. McTune, Some extensions of Enestrom –Kekeya theorem, *International J. Applied mathematics*, 11(2002), 245-253.
 - [10] M.H. Gulzar, on the zeros of a polynomial with restricted coefficients, *Research Journal of Pure Algebra-1*(2011), 205-208.
 - [11] M.H. Gulzar, On the Number of Zeros of a Polynomial in a Prescribed Region, *Research jour. Of Pure Algebra-2*(2) (2012), 35-46.
 - [12] A. Joyal, G. Labelle and Q. I. Rehman, On the location of zeros of polynomial, *Canadian Mathematics Bull*, 10(1967), 53-63.
 - [13] M. Marden, *Geometry of polynomials*, math surveys 3; American Mathematics Society Providence. R.I (1966)
 - [14] B.L. Raina et. al., Sharper Bounds for the zeros of Polynomials Using Enestrom Kekeya Theorem, *Int., Journal of Math Analysis*, V4 (2010), 861-872
 - [15] B. L. Raina et. al., Sharper bounds for zeros of complex polynomials, *International Journal of Mathematical Archive* 3(2012), 3518-3524
 - [16] B. L. Raina et. al., Some generalization of Enestrom Kekeya theorem, *Research Journal of Pure Algebra-2*(2012), Page: 305-311
 - [17] N.A. Rather and S.Shakeel Ahmed, A remark on the generalization of Enestrom –Kekeya theorem. *Journal of analysis & computation*, 3(2007), 33-41
 - [18] W M Shah and A Liman. On Enestrom Kekeya theorem and related analytic functions, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, 117(2007), 359-370.

