

An Extension Of Gregus Fixed Point Theorem

Aradhana Sharma and G. S. Sao

Govt Bilas Girls College Bilaspur, 495006
Govt ERR PG Science College Bilaspur 495006

Abstract

Let C be a closed convex subset of a complete metrizable topological vector space (X, d) and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a mapping that satisfies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, Tx) + cd(y, Ty) + ed(y, Tx) + fd(x, Ty)$ for all $x, y \in C$, where $0 < a < 1$, $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$, $e \geq 0$, $f \geq 0$, and $a + b + c + e + f = 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point. The above theorem, which is a generalization and an extension of the results of several others, is proved in this paper. In addition, we use the Ishikawa iteration to approximate the fixed point of T .

Key words: complete metrizable topological vector space, common fixed point, Ishikawa iteration.

AMS subject classification (2000): 54H25, 47H10.

Introduction:

Gregus [1] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space X and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a mapping that satisfies $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq a\|x - y\| + b\|x - Tx\| + c\|y - Ty\|$ for all $x, y \in C$, where $0 < a < 1$, $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $a + b + c = 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point. Several papers have been written on the Gregus fixed point theorem. For example, see [2 - 3]. The theorem has been generalized to the condition when X is a complete metrizable topological vector space[4].

In this paper, we extend Gregus result to the condition when T satisfies the condition given in Theorem 1.1 and also generalize it to the condition when X is a complete metrizable topological vector space. The following result will be needed for our result.

Theorem 1. 2: A topological vector space X is metrizable iff it has a countable base of neighbourhoods of zero. The topology of a metrizable topological vector space can always be defined by a real - valued function $\| \cdot \| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called F - norm such that for all $x, y \in X$,

- (1) $\| x \| \geq 0$
- (2) $\| x \| = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$
- (3) $\| x + y \| \leq \| x \| + \| y \|$
- (4) $\| \lambda x \| \leq \| x \|$ for all $\lambda \in K$ with $|\lambda| \leq 1$
- (5) if $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_n \in K$, then $\| \lambda_n x \| \rightarrow 0$

Theorem 1. 3: Let C be a closed convex subset of a complete metrizable space X and $T: C \rightarrow C$ a mapping that satisfies $F(Tx - Ty) \leq aF(x - y) + bF(x - Tx) + cF(y - Ty) + eF(y - Tx) + fF(x - Ty)$ for all $x, y \in C$, where $0 < a < 1$, $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$, $e \geq 0$, $f \geq 0$ and $a + b + c + e + f = 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Take any point $x \in C$ and consider the sequence $\{T_n(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$F(T^n x - T^{n-1} x) \leq aF(T^{n-1} x - T^{n-2} x) + bF(T^{n-1} x - T^n x) + cF(T^{n-2} x - T^{n-1} x) + eF(T^{n-2} x - T^{n-1} x) + eF(T^{n-2} x - T^n x) + fF(T^{n-1} x - T^{n-1} x) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\leq \frac{a+c+e}{1-b-e} F(T^{n-1} x - T^{n-2} x) \leq \frac{a+2p}{1-2p} F(T^{n-1} x - T^{n-2} x) \leq F(Tx - x) \quad (1.2)$$

Thus $F(T^n x - T^{n-1} x) \leq F(Tx - x)$ and

$$F(T^3 x - Tx) \leq aF(T^2 x - x) + bF(T^2 x - T^3 x) + cF(Tx - x) + eF(x - T^3 x) + fF(T^2 x - Tx) \leq aF(T^2 x - Tx) + aF(Tx - x) + bF(T^2 x - T^3 x) + cF(Tx - x) + eF(x - Tx) + eF(Tx - T^2 x) + eF(T^2 x - T^3 x) + fF(T^2 x - Tx) \quad (1.3)$$

$$\leq (2a + b + c + 3e + f)F(Tx - x) = (a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x)$$

$$\text{Hence } F(T^3 x - Tx) \leq (a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x) \quad \forall x \in C \quad (1.4)$$

Since C is convex, therefore $z = \frac{1}{2} T^2 x + \frac{1}{2} T^3 x$ is in C , and from the properties of F -

$$\text{norm, we have } F(Tz - z) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(Tz - T^2 x) + \frac{1}{2} F(Tz - T^3 x)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} [aF(z - Tx) + bF(Tz - z) + cF(Tx - T^2 x) + eF(Tx - Tz) + fF(z - T^2 x)]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \{aF(z - T^2 x) + bF(Tz - z) + cF(T^3 x - T^2 x) + eF(T^2 x - Tz) + fF(z - T^3 x)\}$$

$$F(z - Tx) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(T^2 x - Tx) + \frac{1}{2} F(T^3 x - Tx) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(Tx - x) + \frac{1}{2} (a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x)$$

$$= (1 + p + \frac{1}{2} a)F(Tx - x)$$

$$F(z - T^2x) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(T^3x - T^2x) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(Tx - x) \tag{1.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Similarly, } F(z - T^3x) &\leq \frac{1}{2} F(Tx - x), F(Tx - Tz) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(Tx - T^3x) + \frac{1}{2} F(Tx - T^4x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x) + \frac{1}{2} \{F(Tx - T^2x) + F(T^2x - T^4x)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x) + \frac{1}{2} \{F(Tx - x) + (a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x)\} \\ &\leq (a + 2p + \frac{3}{2})F(Tx - x) \end{aligned}$$

$$F(Tx^2 - Tz) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(T^2x - T^3x) + \frac{1}{2} F(T^2x - T^4x) \leq (\frac{1}{2} a + p + 1)F(Tx - x) \tag{1.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } (1 - b)F(Tz - z) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \{a(1 + p + \frac{1}{2}a)F(Tx - x) + cF(Tx - x) \\ &+ eF(a + 2p + \frac{3}{2})F(Tx - x) + \frac{1}{2}fF(Tx - x)\} + \frac{1}{2} \{\frac{1}{2}aF(Tx - x) \\ &+ cF(Tx - x) + \frac{1}{2}e(a + 2p + 1)F(Tx - x) + \frac{1}{2}fF(Tx - x)\} \\ &= (\frac{3}{4}a + \frac{1}{4}a^2 + \frac{5}{4}ap + \frac{5}{2}p + \frac{3}{2}p^2)F(Tx - x) \tag{1.7} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } 4(1 - p)F(z - Tz) &\leq (3a + a^2 + 5ap + 10p + 6p^2)F(Tx - x) \\ &\leq (2p^2 - 5p + 4)F(Tx - x) \tag{1.8} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$F(z - Tz) \leq \frac{26 - 22a - a^2}{8(a + 3)} F(Tx - x) \leq \lambda F(Tx - x), \tag{1.9}$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{26 - 22a - a^2}{8(a + 3)}$. It is clear that $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Now let $i = \inf\{F(x - x) : x \in C\}$. Then there exists a point $x \in C$ such that $F(Tx - x) < i + \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose $i \geq 0$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{(1 - \lambda)i}{\lambda}$, and $F(Tx - x) < i + \varepsilon$, we have

$$F(Tz - z) \leq \lambda F(Tx - x) \leq \lambda (i + \varepsilon) < i, \tag{1.10}$$

that is, $F(Tz - z) < i$, which is a contradiction with the definition of i . Hence $\inf\{F(Tx - x) : x \in C\} = 0$.

To prove that the infimum is attained is the easy part of the proof. Take the following system of sets:

$$K_n = \{x : F(x - Tx) \leq \frac{1}{2n} (q + 1)\}; T(K_n) \text{ and } \overline{T(K_n)}, \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}, q = \frac{a + p}{1 - a}, \text{ and}$$

$\overline{T(K_n)}$ is the closure of $T(K_n)$. Then for any $x, y \in K_n$,

$$F(Tx - Ty) \leq qF(Tx - x) + qF(Ty - y) \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

$$F(x - y) \leq (q + 1)F(Tx - x) + (q + 1)F(Ty - y) \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad (1.11)$$

that is, $\text{diam}(K_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$, $\text{diam}(T(K_n)) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and therefore, since $\text{diam}(T(K_n)) = \text{diam}(\overline{T(Kn)})$, we have $\text{diam}(\overline{T(Kn)}) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. It is clear that $\{K_n\}$ and $\{T(K_n)\}$ from

monotone sequences of sets and from (1.2) we have $T(K_n) \subset K_n$. Suppose $y \in \overline{T(Kn)}$, then there exists $y' \in K_n$, such that $F(y - Ty') < \varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F(y - Ty) \leq F(y - Ty') + F(Ty' - Ty) \leq F(y - Ty') + aF(y - y') + bF(y' - Ty') + cF(Ty - y) + eF(y - Ty') + fF(y' - Ty)$ (1.12)

$$\text{Hence } (1 - c)F(y - Ty) \leq (1 + a + e + f)\varepsilon + (a + b)F(Ty' - y') \quad (1.13)$$

Since $F(y' - Ty') \leq \frac{1}{2n}(q + 1)$, then $F(y - Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2n}(q + 1)$, and we have $y \in K_n$.

Hence $\overline{T(Kn)} \subset K_n$. $\{\overline{T(Kn)}\}$ is a decreasing sequence of closed nonempty sets with $\text{diam}(\overline{T(Kn)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence they have a nonempty intersection $\{x^*\}$ and T has a unique fixed point $Tx^* = x^*$.

Cor. : If $e = 0 = f$ then it reduces to [1].

Main Result:

We now proceed to use the Ishikawa iteration scheme to approximate the fixed point of our mapping under consideration.

Theorem 1. 4: Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a complex metrizable topological vector space X and let $T, S: C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping that satisfies

$$F(Tx - Sy) \leq aF(x - y) + bF(Tx - x) + cF(Sy - y) + eF(Tx - y) + fF(Sy - x) \quad (1.14)$$

for all $x, y \in C$, where $0 < a < 1$, $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$, $e \geq 0$, $f \geq 0$ and $a + b + c + e + f = 1$. Proof: Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is a Ishikawa iteration sequence defined by

$$x_0 \in X \quad (1.15)$$

$$y_{2n} = \beta_{2n}Tx_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n}, n \geq 0 \quad (1.16)$$

$$x_{2n+1} = \alpha_{2n}Sy_{2n} + (1 - \alpha_{2n})x_{2n}, n \geq 0 \quad (1.17)$$

(i) In the Ishikawa scheme $\{\alpha_{2n}\}, \{\beta_{2n}\}$ satisfy $0 \leq \alpha_{2n}, \beta_{2n} \leq 1$ for all n and $\sum \alpha_{2n} \beta_{2n} = \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

(ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{2n} = \alpha > 0$,

(iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{2n} = \beta < 1$.

If $\beta_{2n} = 0$ then Ishikawa iteration process reduces to Mann iteration process. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to the unique fixed point of T and S .

Proof: It follows from (1. 4. 3) that $x_{2n+1} - x_{2n} = \alpha_{2n}Sy_{2n} - \alpha_{2n}x_{2n} = \alpha_{2n}(Sy_{2n} - x_{2n})$. If $x_{2n} \rightarrow z$ then $F(x_{2n+1} - x_{2n}) \rightarrow 0$; Since $\{\alpha_{2n}\}$ is bounded away from zero, so we have $F(Sy_{2n} - x_{2n}) \rightarrow 0$; It also follows that $F(Sy_{2n} - z) \rightarrow 0$; Since T and S satisfies (1. 14) we have

$$F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) \leq aF(x_{2n} - y_{2n}) + bF(Tx_{2n} - x_{2n}) + cF(Sy_{2n} - y_{2n}) + eF(Tx_{2n} - y_{2n}) + fF(Sy_{2n} - x_{2n}) \tag{1. 18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } F(y_{2n} - x_{2n}) &= F(\beta_{2n}Tx_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - x_{2n}) = F(\beta_{2n}Tx_{2n} - \beta_{2n}x_{2n}) \\ &= F(\beta_{2n}(Tx_{2n} - x_{2n})) \leq F(Tx_{2n} - x_{2n}) \leq F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n} + Sy_{2n} - x_{2n}) \\ &\leq F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) + F(Sy_{2n} - x_{2n}) \end{aligned} \tag{1. 19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(y_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) &= F(\beta_{2n}Tx_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) \\ &= F(\beta_{2n}Tx_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} + \beta_{2n}Sy_{2n} - \beta_{2n}Sy_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) = F(\beta_{2n}(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_{2n})(x_{2n} - Sy_{2n})) \leq F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) + F(x_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) \end{aligned} \tag{1. 20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(y_{2n} - Tx_{2n}) &= F(\beta_{2n}Tx_{2n} + (1 - \beta_{2n})x_{2n} - Tx_{2n}) = F(1 - \beta_{2n})(Tx_{2n} - x_{2n}) \\ &\leq F(Tx_{2n} - x_{2n}) \leq F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n} + Sy_{2n} - x_{2n}) \leq F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) + F(Sy_{2n} - x_{2n}) \end{aligned} \tag{1. 21}$$

Using (1. 19), (1. 20) and (1. 21); (1. 18) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) &\leq F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) \text{ contradiction} \\ \Rightarrow F(Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n}) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow Tx_{2n} - Sy_{2n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \Rightarrow Tx_{2n} - z &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } Sy_{2n} \rightarrow z \text{ if } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

If x_{2n}, z satisfies (1. 18), we have $F(Tx_{2n} - Sz) \leq aF(x_{2n} - z) + bF(Tx_{2n} - x_{2n}) + cF(Sz - z) + eF(Tx_{2n} - z) + fF(Sz - x_{2n})$ (1. 22) $\Rightarrow F(Tx_{2n} - Sz) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally $F(z - Sz) = F(z - Tx_{2n} + Tx_{2n} - Sz) \leq F(z - Tx_{2n}) + F(Tx_{2n} - Sz)$
 $\Rightarrow F(z - Sz) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ So $z = Sz$, Similarly $z = Tz$.

Thus z is a common fixed point of T and S.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Gregus Jr. M. : A fixed point theorem in Banach space, Bollettino, Union Matematica Italiana. A. SerieV, Vol. 17, no. 1, 1980, p193 - 198
- [2] Murthy, P. P., Cho, Y. J. and Fisher, B. : Common fixed points of Gregus type mappings, Glasnik Mathematicki Serija 111, Vol. 30 (50), no. 2, pp 335 - 341, 1995.
- [3] Mikherjee, R. N. and Verma, V. : A note on a fixed point theorem of Gregus, Mathematica Japonica, Vol. 33, no. 5, pp 745 - 749, 1988.
- [4] Olaleru, J. O. : A generalization of Gregus fixed point theorem, Jour. of Applied Sci., Vol. 6, no. 15, pp 3160 - 3163, 2006.
- [5] Berinde, V. : On the convergence of the Ishikawa iteration in the class of auasi contractive operators, Acta Mathematica Uni. Com. New series, Vol. 73, no. 1, pp 119 - 126, 2004.
- [6] Rhodes, B. E. : Comments on two fixed point iteration methods, Jou. of Mathematical Ana. and Appl., Vol. 56, no. 3, pp 741 - 750, 1976.

