

## Geometric Mean Labelings of trees in $\Gamma(Z_n)$

J. Periaswamy and N.Selvi

*P.G and Research Department of Mathematics  
AVC College(Autonomous) Mayiladuthurai, India-609 305.*

*P.G and Research Department of Mathematics  
A.D.M. College for Women (Autonomous) Nagapattinam, India-611 001*

### Abstract

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $Z(R)$  be its set of zero-divisors. We associate a graph  $\Gamma(R)$  to  $R$  with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) - \{0\}$ , the set of non-zero divisors of  $R$  and for distinct  $u, v \in Z(R)^*$ , the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent if and only if  $uv = 0$ . In this paper, we evaluate the geometric mean labelings in a graph obtained by attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$ , where  $p > 2$  is any prime number.

**Subject Classification:** 05C69

**Keywords:** Geometric Mean Labelings, Zero Divisor Graphs.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and let  $Z(R)$  be its set of zero-divisors. We associate a graph  $\Gamma(R)$  to  $R$  with vertices  $Z(R)^* = Z(R) - \{0\}$ , the set of non-zero divisors of  $R$  and for distinct  $u, v \in Z(R)^*$ , the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent if and only if  $uv = 0$ . The zero divisor graph is very useful to find the algebraic structures and properties of rings. The idea of a zero divisor graph of a commutative ring was introduced by I. Beck in [2]. The first simplification of Beck's zero divisor graph was introduced by D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston [1]. Their motivation was to give a better illustration of the zero divisor structure of the ring. D.F.Anderson and P.S.Livingston, and others, e.g., [3, 4, 5, 6], investigate the interplay between the graph theoretic properties of  $\Gamma(R)$  and the ring theoretic properties of  $R$ . Throughout this paper, we consider the commutative ring  $R$  by  $Z_n$  and zero divisor graph  $\Gamma(R)$  by  $\Gamma(Z_n)$ .

S. Somasundaram and P. Vidhyarani [7] introduced the concept of Geometric mean labelings. Let a graph  $G$  be simple with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges.  $G$  is said to be a Geometric mean graph if it possible to label vertices  $x \in V$  with distinct labels  $f(x)$  from  $1, 2, \dots, q+1$  in such a way that when edge  $e = uv$  is labeled with  $\lfloor \sqrt{f(u)f(v)} \rfloor$  or  $\lceil \sqrt{f(u)f(v)} \rceil$  then the resulting edge labels are distinct. In this case,  $f$  is called Geometric mean labeling of  $G$ .

## 2 Geometric Mean Labelings of Trees in $\Gamma(Z_n)$

In this section, we evaluate the geometric mean labelings of trees in  $\Gamma(Z_n)$ . Also, we evaluate the geometric mean labelings in a graph obtained by attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$ , where  $p > 2$  is any prime number.

**Theorem 2.1** If  $p > 7$  is any prime number,  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  is not a geometric mean graph.

**Proof:** We know that the vertex set of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  is  $\{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2(p-1), p\}$  and the order of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  is  $p$ . Let us assure that  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  is geometric mean graph. Clearly, the vertex 'p' is adjacent with all other remaining vertices in  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$ . Let the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  is  $p$ . The other vertices be  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{p-1}$ , respectively. Then define function  $l: V(\Gamma(Z_{2p})) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$

**Case (i):** Let  $l(p) \neq 1$ .

The central vertex cannot be labeled 1. Otherwise the edge joining 1 and 4 should be labeled 2 and the edge joining (1, 2) and (1, 3) get the same label 1. Clearly, get the edge label 1 the central vertex 'p' has to be either 2 or more than 2.

**Case(ii):**  $l(p) = 2$ .

Let the central vertex be labeled 2 and all the other vertices be labeled 1, 3, 4, ..., p, respectively. Edge joining the vertices (2, 5) and (2, 6) receives the same label namely 4. So, we can't assign the label 2 for the central vertex 'p'.

**Case(iii):**  $l(p) = 3$ .

Let the central vertex  $p$  labeled 3 and remaining vertices be labeled 1, 2, 4, ..., (p) respectively. Clearly, the edge joining the vertices (3, 6) and (3, 7) receives the same label namely 5. From the above cases, it can be seen that there is a contradiction with the assumption. Hence, when the prime number  $P > 7$ ,  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  is not a geometric mean graph.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching each vertex of  $P_n$  to the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$ , where  $p=3$ . Then,  $G$  admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Proof:** Let  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  be a star graph namely,  $K_{1,2}$ , where  $p = 3$ . The vertex set of  $\Gamma(Z_6)$  is  $\{2, 3, 4\}$ . Let  $P_n$  be the path namely  $v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n$  and  $u_1, u_2$  be the vertices of  $K_{1,2}$  which the attached to vertex  $v_i$  of  $P_n$ . The number of vertices in  $G$  is equal to the sum

of the vertices in the path and every vertex in  $P_n$  adjacent with two end vertices. That is  $n(V(G)) = n(V(P_n)) + 2n(V(P_n)) = n + 2n = 3n$ . Clearly, the graph is tree with  $3n$  vertices and  $3n-1$  edges.

Define a function  $l: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}$  by  $l(v_1) = 3$ ,  $l(v_i) = 3i - 2$   $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Clearly, the vertices in  $\Gamma(Z_6)$  is  $\{2, 3, 4\}$ . Remaining vertices in  $\Gamma(Z_6)$  as  $u_i$ ,  $w_i$  and  $p$ , respectively. Then  $l(u_1) = 1$ ,  $l(u_i) = 3i - 1$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n$  and  $l(w_1) = 2$ ,  $l(w_i) = 3i$   $2 \leq i \leq n$ . The remaining one vertex 'p' in  $\Gamma(Z_6)$  is central vertex which is attaching to every vertex  $v_i$  in  $P_n$ . Then the label of the edge  $v_i v_{i+1} = 3i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i u_i = 3i - 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the label of the edge  $v_i w_i = 3i - 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and the label of the edge  $u_i w_i = 3i - 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then, the function  $l$  is a geometric mean labeling of  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.3** Let  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching each vertex of  $P_n$  to the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$ , where  $n = 2001$  and  $p=5$ . Then  $G$  admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Proof:** Let  $P_{2001}$  be the path  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{2001}$  and  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$ ,  $r_i$ ,  $s_i$  be the end vertices of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  which are attached to the vertices  $v_i$  of  $P_{2001}$ . Clearly, the graph  $G$  has 10005 vertices and 10004 edges. Define a function  $l: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 10005\}$  as  $l(v_i) = 5i - 2$   $1 \leq i \leq 2001$ ,  $l(p_i) = 5i - 4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2001$ ,  $l(q_i) = 5i - 3$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2001$ ,  $l(r_i) = 5i - 4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2001$ , and  $l(s_i) = 5i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 2001$ . Then the label of the edge  $v_i v_{i+1} = 5i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i p_i = 5i - 4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i q_i = 5i - 3$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i r_i = 5i - 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i s_i = 5i - 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Clearly, the function  $l$  is a geometric mean graph.

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching each vertex of  $P_n$  to the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$ , where  $n > 2001$  and  $p=5$ . Then  $G$  is not a geometric mean labeling.

**Proof:** Let  $P_n$  be the path  $v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$  and  $p_i$ ,  $q_i$ ,  $r_i$ ,  $s_i$  be the end vertices of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  which are attached to the vertices  $v_i$  of  $P_n$ . Clearly, the graph  $G$  has  $5n$  vertices and  $5n-1$  edges. Define a function  $l: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 5n\}$  as  $l(v_i) = 5i - 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $l(p_i) = 5i - 4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $l(q_i) = 5i - 3$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $l(r_i) = 5i - 4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $l(s_i) = 5i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then the label of the edge  $v_i v_{i+1} = 5i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i p_i = 5i - 4$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i q_i = 5i - 3$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i r_i = 5i - 2$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , the label of the edge  $v_i s_i = 5i - 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . Using above theorem (2.3), if  $n=2001$  then the graph  $G$  admits a geometric mean labeling. But  $n=2002$ , then the last five vertices are  $\{10001, 10002, 10003, 10004, 10005\}$ . Clearly, the label of the edge  $(10001)(10003)$  is 10002 and the label of the edge  $(10002)(10003)$  is also 10002 which is a contradiction for the definition of geometric mean that is all the edges have different labels. Hence,  $n$  is large, the graph  $G$  obtained by attaching each vertex of  $P_n$  to the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  is not a geometric mean graph.

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_6)$  to the vertices of  $P_n$  whose degree is 2. Then  $G$  admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Proof:** The graph  $G$  has  $3n-4$  vertices and  $3n-5$  edges. Let  $P_n$  be the path  $v_1v_2\dots v_n$  and  $u_i, w_i$  be the end vertices of  $\Gamma(Z_6)$  which are attached to the vertices  $v_i$  of  $P_n$ . Define a function  $V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 3n-4\}$  as  $l(v_1) = 1, l(v_i) = 3(i-1), 2 \leq i \leq n-1, l(v_n) = l(v_{n-1}) + 2, l(u_2) = 2, l(u_i) = l(u_{i-1}) + 3, 3 \leq i \leq n-1, l(w_i) = l(v_i) + 1, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then the label of the edge  $v_iv_{i+1} = 3i-2, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the label of the edge  $v_iu_i = 3i-4, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , the label of the edge  $v_iw_i = 3i-3, 2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Hence the graph  $G$  admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Theorem 2.6** Let  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  to the vertices of  $P_n$  whose degree is 2. Then  $G$  does not admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Proof:** The graph  $G$  has  $5n-8$  vertices and  $5n-9$  edges. Let  $P_n$  be the path  $v_1v_2\dots v_n$  and  $p_i, q_i, r_i, w_i$  be the end vertices of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  which are attached to the vertices  $v_i$  of  $P_n$ . Define a function  $l: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 5n-8\}$ . The proof is by the method of induction on vertices in  $G$ .

Let  $n=3$ , then  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  to the vertices  $P_3$  whose degree is 2. Clearly, in  $P_3$  only one vertex has degree 2. So, attached the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  to the middle vertex of  $P_3$ . Now  $G$  has 7 vertices and 6 edges.

Let us take the vertex set of  $V(G)$  is  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ , where  $v_1, v_2$  and  $v_3$  are vertices in  $P_3$  and  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4$  are end vertices in  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$ . Now Define a function  $l: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$  as  $l(v_1) = 1, l(v_2) = 3, l(v_3) = 7, l(u_1) = 2, l(u_2) = 4, l(u_3) = 5$  and  $l(u_4) = 6$ . Then, the label of the edge  $v_1v_2$  by 1, the label of the edge  $v_2u_1$  by 2, the label of the edge  $v_2u_2$  by 3, the label of the edge  $v_2u_3$  by 4, the label of the edge  $v_2u_4$  by 5, the label of the edge  $v_2v_3$  by 5. Clearly, we get a contradiction that two edges has the same labeling, namely 5. Hence, any graph  $G$ , attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{10})$  to the vertices of  $P_n$  whose degree is 2, does not admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Theorem 2.7** Let  $G$  be a graph obtained by attaching the central vertex of  $\Gamma(Z_{2p})$  to the vertices of  $P_n$  whose degree is 2, where  $p \geq 5$  is any prime number. Then  $G$  does not admits a geometric mean labeling.

**Proof:** Using above theorems (2.5) and (2.6), the graph  $G$  contains a induced subgraph, namely  $K_{1,5}$ . Since, we know that  $K_{1,5}$  is not a geometric mean graph. Hence, the theorem is true.

**References**

- [1] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston, The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring, *J. Algebra*, **217**, (1999), No-2, 434-447.
- [2] I. Beck, Coloring of Commutative Rings, *J. Algebra*, **116**, (1988), 208-226.
- [3] J. Ravi Sankar and S. Meena, Changing and unchanging the Domination Number of a commutative ring, *International Journal of Algebra*, **6**, (2012), No-27, 1343-1352.
- [4] J. Ravi Sankar and S. Meena, Connected Domination Number of a commutative ring, *International Journal of Mathematical Research*, **5**, (2012), No-1, 5-11.
- [5] J. Ravi Sankar and S. Meena, On Weak Domination in a Zero Divisor Graph, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **26**, (2013), No-1, 83-91.
- [6] J. Ravi Sankar and S. Meena, Notes on the Strong Domination in a Zero Divisor Graph, *Advances in Algebra*, **7**, (2014), No-1, 1-7.
- [7] S. Somasundaram, P. Vidyanani and R. Ponraj, Geometric Mean Labelings of Graphs, *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences*, **30E**, (2011), No-2, 153-160.

